

DISCLOSURE BROCHURE

March 29,
2018

This brochure provides information about the qualifications and business practices of Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated (“Stifel”) and the wrap fee programs that we offer. We also offer other advisory programs, including (but not limited to) advisory consulting services and financial planning services, which are covered in separate brochures. If you have any questions about the contents of this brochure, please contact us at the address or telephone number provided below. The information in this brochure has not been approved or verified by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) or by any state securities authority. Additional information about Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated is available on the SEC’s website at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. Registration with the SEC does not imply a certain level of skill or training.

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated
501 North Broadway
St. Louis, Missouri 63102
(314) 342-2000
www.stifel.com

INVESTMENT AND INSURANCE PRODUCTS: NOT FDIC INSURED • NOT A BANK
DEPOSIT • NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY • NO BANK
GUARANTEE • MAY LOSE VALUE

MATERIAL CHANGES

Since Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated (“Stifel” or the “firm”)’s last annual update in March 2017, the firm has experienced the following changes that may be considered material:

- We updated the descriptions of the following Portfolios in the discussion of our Score Program to match the descriptions assigned to each such Portfolio by its investment adviser: Tactical Total Core, Municipal Tactical Total Core, Tactical Core Equity, Select Quality Growth & Income, and Quality Dividend, each by EquityCompass, and the Laddered Bond Portfolio by Washington Crossing Advisors.
- We updated the discussion of the investment strategy used in connection with our Mutual Fund and Strategic ETF Portfolios (offered through the Fundamentals and Spectrum Program) to clarify that we may retain existing positions in the Portfolios even where such positions have been removed from their respective Stifel Recommended List.
- With respect to our Model-Based Trading Portfolios (offered through Opportunity, Score, and Custom Advisory Portfolio Programs), we clarified that there may be times when an adviser may be unable or unwilling to provide a substitute for a recommendation that we are unable to implement. In such cases, we will determine the appropriate course, which may be to add to the other positions in the applicable Portfolio, or to hold cash or such other alternative as deemed reasonable in the circumstance, in our sole discretion.
- We updated the section “*Fees and Compensation*” as follows:
 - We clarified our process for liquidations in the event an account does not have sufficient cash to pay for advisory fees, under the subsection “*Deduction of Advisory Account Fees*.” A similar process is generally used in the event liquidations are required to satisfy a maintenance call in connection with a margin loan by our firm, or a securities-based loan taken out from our affiliated bank.
 - We enhanced the discussion of the indirect compensation that we receive from funds in connection with client investments in our advisory accounts. This compensation includes, but is not limited to, 12b-1 distribution fees, omnibus and/or networking fees, marketing support and revenue share payments, and training and education expense contributions. In general, to the extent received, we rebate any 12b-1 fees attributable to periods when an account is enrolled in our fee-based advisory programs, and we rebate omnibus and networking fees attributable to retirement accounts enrolled in our advisory program. Please refer to the discussion under the subsection “*Compensation From Funds and Other Collective Investment Vehicles*” for additional information about these payments and our processes relating to such payments.
 - We enhanced the discussion of the conflicts to which we are subject in connection with the various indirect compensation that we receive from other parties in connection with our client assets. For example, in the discussion of “*Interest and Similar Compensation*,” we noted that any payments received by our Financial Advisors in connection with securities-based loans taken out by our clients from our affiliated bank, and collateralized by assets held in the clients’ advisory accounts, present a material conflict of interest for us and the Financial Advisor. Please refer to the discussion under “*General Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest*” for additional information on the various conflicts that we face in connection with our indirect compensation arrangements. Additional conflict of interest information is also provided under “*Margin*” and “*Credit Line Loans*” in the “*Brokerage Practices*” section of the brochure. Clients who engage in margin transactions (including using advisory assets to cross-collateralize margin loans) and/or securities-based lending with our affiliated bank should note that when we are obligated to liquidate any collateral assets to satisfy a maintenance call, we are acting solely in our capacity as a custodian or broker-dealer, and not as a fiduciary to the client or the client’s assets.
- In the section “*Portfolio Manager Selection and Evaluation*,” we clarified that our initial and/or continuing requirements for affiliated investment advisers may be less rigorous than for non-affiliated investment advisers.

- We added a new risk disclosure to the section “*Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies, and Risk of Loss*” relating to cases where our firm (including our Financial Advisors) takes a significant position in an issuer’s securities (e.g., owning more than 5% of the total outstanding shares), which may affect the liquidity of such position in our client accounts.
- The section “Disciplinary Information” has updates relating to the following events:
 - On January 26, 2018, Stifel entered into a Letter of Acceptance, Waiver, and Consent (“AWC”) with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm (i) traded ahead of certain customer orders at prices that would have satisfied the customer orders; (ii) did not maintain adequate supervisory controls that were reasonably designed to achieve compliance with FINRA Rule 5320 and Supplementary Material .02 of FINRA Rule 5320; and failed to report an information barrier identifier with its order audit trail system (OATS) submission for certain orders. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rules 2010, 3110, 7440(b)(19), and NASD Rule 3010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure, monetary fine of \$37,500, plus interest of \$318.25, restitution payments to affected investors, and an undertaking to revise its written supervisory procedures relating to Rule 5320 and Supplementary Material .02 of FINRA to settle these allegations.
 - On January 26, 2018, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to report to the Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine (“TRACE”) transactions in TRACE-eligible securitized products within the time required by FINRA Rule 6730. While not admitting or denying these allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$17,500.
 - In June 2017, Stifel entered into an Acceptance, Waiver, and Consent with FINRA to settle allegations that Stifel did not provide timely disclosures to a municipal issuer in connection with its role as placement agent in a placement of bonds issued by the municipal issuer in accordance with interpretive guidance issued by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”) regarding MSRB Rule G-23. In May 2012, Stifel recommended that the issuer do a placement, in lieu of a public offering, in order to save on debt service costs. The issuer accepted Stifel’s recommendation and agreed that Stifel would serve as placement agent. However, Stifel did not provide the disclosures regarding its role in a timely manner. As a result, the firm was alleged to have violated MSRB Rule G-23 by serving as both financial advisor and placement agent on the same issue. While not admitting or denying the allegations, Stifel agreed to a regulatory censure and a monetary fine of \$125,000.
- We updated our ERISA Rule 408(b)(2) Disclosure Information for Qualified Retirement Plans, which is attached to our brochure. Clients who are qualified retirement plans should pay particular attention to this disclosure.

Instead of providing an updated brochure each year to Clients, we generally provide this summary of material changes by April 30 of each year. Because it is a summary, it does not contain all of the updates that were made to the brochure. Please read the full brochure, which is available to Clients at no charge on our website at www.stifel.com under the section “Important Disclosures,” or by contacting your Financial Advisor. Capitalized terms used in this section have the meanings assigned to them in the main body of this brochure.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 5

ADVISORY BUSINESS 5

WRAP FEE PROGRAMS OFFERED BY STIFEL 6

STIFEL SCORE PROGRAM 6

STIFEL SOLUTIONS PROGRAM 8

STIFEL OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM 8

STIFEL HORIZON PROGRAM 8

STIFEL CONNECT PROGRAM 9

STIFEL FUNDAMENTALS PROGRAM 9

STIFEL CUSTOM ADVISORY PORTFOLIO PROGRAM 9

STIFEL SPECTRUM PROGRAM 10

STIFEL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT CONSULTING PROGRAM 10

SEI ASSET MANAGEMENT PROGRAM 10

OTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROGRAMS 11

FEEES AND COMPENSATION 11

PERFORMANCE-BASED FEES AND SIDE-BY-SIDE MANAGEMENT 18

ACCOUNT REQUIREMENTS AND TYPES OF CLIENTS 18

PORTFOLIO MANAGER SELECTION AND EVALUATION 18

METHODS OF ANALYSIS, INVESTMENT STRATEGIES, AND RISK OF LOSS 19

CLIENT INFORMATION PROVIDED TO PORTFOLIO MANAGERS 23

CLIENT CONTACT WITH PORTFOLIO MANAGERS 24

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION 24

DISCIPLINARY INFORMATION 24

OTHER FINANCIAL INDUSTRY ACTIVITIES AND AFFILIATIONS 29

CODE OF ETHICS, PARTICIPATION OR INTEREST IN CLIENT TRANSACTIONS, AND PERSONAL TRADING 31

BROKERAGE PRACTICES 32

CASH SWEEP OPTIONS 36

REVIEW OF ACCOUNTS 36

CLIENT REFERRALS AND OTHER COMPENSATION 37

CUSTODY 38

VOTING CLIENT SECURITIES 38

FINANCIAL INFORMATION 39

ERISA RULE 408(B)(2) DISCLOSURE INFORMATION FOR QUALIFIED RETIREMENT PLANS 39

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

About Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated (“Stifel”) is a broker-dealer that has been registered with the SEC since 1936 and an investment adviser that has been registered with the SEC since May 7, 1975. Stifel is owned by Stifel Financial Corp., a publicly held company whose common stock trades under the symbol “SF.” Stifel is a leading full-service wealth management, investment advisory (“Advisory”), broker-dealer and investment banking firm serving the investment and capital needs of its clients. Stifel is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”), the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”), and various exchanges. Information about Stifel’s qualifications, business practices, portfolio management techniques, and affiliates is accessible on our website at www.stifel.com as well as via publicly available filings with the SEC at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov.

In this brochure, the pronouns “we,” “our,” “us,” and similar words will refer to Stifel. The pronouns “you,” “your,” and similar words will refer to you as the Client. References to the singular throughout this brochure include the plural and vice versa. Capitalized terms shall have the meanings assigned to them in this brochure.

Services We Provide

We offer both Advisory and brokerage services to our Clients. For more information about our brokerage business, please refer to the “Brokerage Practices” section of this brochure. *It is important to understand that brokerage services are separate and distinct from Advisory services, and different laws, standards of care, and separate contracts with clients govern each. While there are similarities among brokerage and Advisory services, our firm’s contractual relationship with, and legal duties to, clients are subject to a number of important differences depending on whether we are acting in a brokerage or Advisory capacity.*

ADVISORY BUSINESS

Types of Advisory Services Offered By Stifel

Our services include discretionary and non-discretionary Advisory services, which generally involve account and/or portfolio management, asset allocation and related services, and recommendation of, or assistance with the selection of, securities and/or third-party investment advisers (“Advisers”). Such Advisers may include firms that are independent of our firm (“Independent Advisers”) as well as firms owned by our parent company, Stifel Financial Corp., or one of its subsidiaries (“Affiliated Advisers”). We enter into written advisory agreements (each, an “Advisory Agreement”) with clients acknowledging our Advisory relationship and disclosing our obligations when acting in an Advisory capacity. We provide Advisory services to a variety of Clients, including individuals, corporations and other businesses, pension or profit sharing plans, employee benefit plans, trusts, estates, charitable organizations, state and municipal government entities, private funds, educational institutions, insurance companies, and banks

or thrift institutions (“Clients”). We generally provide Advisory services through our investment advisory representatives (“Financial Advisors”), who determine the services that are most appropriate for Clients based on each Client’s stated individual investment goals and financial circumstances. We may fulfill a Client’s wealth management needs by acting as broker-dealer, investment adviser, or both. Our Advisory services cover most types of debt and equity (or equity-related) securities of domestic and foreign companies, as well as national, state, and local government issuers, whether trading on an exchange or over-the-counter. In addition to stocks and fixed income securities, we may also invest Client assets in other types of investments, such as rights and warrants, options, certificates of deposit, mutual funds and other open and closed-end funds, exchange traded products (“ETPs”), including exchange traded funds (“ETFs”), unit investment trusts (“UITs”), real estate investment trusts (“REITs”), American Depositary Receipts (“ADRs”), foreign ordinary shares, publicly traded master limited partnerships (“MLPs”), private investment vehicles (including, but not limited to, hedge funds and private equity funds), and other investments deemed appropriate for our Clients.

Assets Under Management

As of December 31, 2017, we had approximately \$41,115,911,401 of Client assets that were managed on a discretionary basis and \$29,891,687,525 in non-discretionary assets.

Our Responsibilities as an Investment Adviser

When serving as an investment adviser to Advisory clients, we are acting as a fiduciary and are held to the legal standards set forth in the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the “Advisers Act”), certain state laws, and common law standards applicable to fiduciaries. Such standards include, but are not limited to, the duty to serve the best interests of Clients, the obligation to place Clients’ interests before our own, full disclosure of material and potential conflicts of interest, full disclosure of all compensation received from Clients or third parties for providing investment advice or advisory services to our Clients, and having a reasonable basis for believing that our investment recommendations are suitable and consistent with Client’s objectives and goals, including any restrictions placed on the account. Additional information about our fiduciary obligations, including some of the policies and procedures that we undertake to fulfill those obligations, is available throughout this brochure, including under the section entitled “Participation or Interest in Client Transactions.”

Investment Restrictions

Subject to our review for reasonableness, Clients with accounts in the discretionary programs covered in this brochure may impose restrictions on investing in specific securities or certain types of securities for such accounts by making such requests to us in writing. If we determine that the restrictions are reasonable and accept them, we and/or the Adviser you have selected will be responsible for implementing, and managing the account consistent with, the restrictions that you have imposed. It is important for you to understand that if the restrictions are approved and imposed on your account, the performance of the

account may differ (even significantly) from the performance of other accounts in the same portfolio without similar restrictions. You may request in writing that specific mutual funds or ETFs not be purchased in your discretionary Advisory account(s); however, we cannot accommodate requests to restrict the underlying securities that may be purchased or sold by mutual funds, ETFs, private funds, or other collective investment vehicles in Advisory accounts.

In certain Advisory programs referenced below, and as outlined in the applicable Advisory Agreement, in the event that mutual funds, ETFs or categories of both are restricted, the portion of the account that would have been invested in such may be invested in cash equivalents or short-term fixed income instruments at our discretion. Investments in cash equivalents or short-term fixed income instruments pursuant to such restrictions may impact the performance of the account relative to other accounts that are fully invested.

We define and/or identify certain types of permissible account restrictions (e.g., prohibiting investments in particular industries or based on social consciousness) by reference to information provided by a third-party service provider using the provider's proprietary methodologies, which may change at any time without notice to Clients. If a Client elects to impose such types of restrictions on an account, we will apply the restrictions based on our internal policies, by referencing the third-party service provider's information.

WRAP FEE PROGRAMS OFFERED BY STIFEL

We offer a number of different wrap fee programs (each, a "Program" and collectively, the "Programs") as well as, where applicable, different portfolios within each Program (each, a "Portfolio" and collectively, the "Portfolios"). For these Programs, we are the sponsor and, in certain Programs, both the sponsor of the Program and portfolio manager for Portfolios within the Program. A "wrap fee" is an annual fee paid by the Client that is intended to cover applicable services to the account, including investment advice and, where applicable, may include portfolio management, trade execution, clearing, settlements, custody, administrative, and account reporting services provided by Stifel, as well as investment advice and/or portfolio management services provided by an Adviser to the Portfolio. To the extent that portfolio management or similar services are provided by Advisers, a portion of the wrap fee paid by the Client will be paid to such Advisers for their services – please refer to the section "Fees and Compensation" below for additional details about our wrap fees (also called Advisory Account Fees). We generally manage accounts enrolled in wrap fee Programs with the same level of care as non-wrap fee Advisory accounts. Additional information about the Programs covered by this brochure is provided below.

Throughout this brochure and depending on the type of Program referenced, the term "portfolio manager" shall refer to, as applicable, i) Stifel where it or your Financial Advisor, as agent for Stifel, provides discretionary portfolio management services (e.g., in connection with our Solutions Program discussed

below) and/or ii) an Independent Adviser or Affiliated Adviser to whom Stifel has delegated discretionary authority as a sub-adviser, such as manager-traded Portfolios in our Opportunity Program, or to whom you have otherwise granted investment discretion, such as an Adviser with whom you have entered into a separate investment advisory agreement within our Connect Program.

STIFEL SCORE PROGRAM

About the Score Program

Our Score Program ("Score") offers access to model Portfolios of Advisers, which include Independent and Affiliated Advisers (collectively, "Portfolios"). Your Financial Advisor will assist you in selecting one or more Portfolio(s) from among those available in the Score Program, based on your specified investment objectives, goals, and risk tolerance. For the majority of the Score Portfolios, the applicable Adviser provides their model Portfolios (and/or changes to the same) and we implement the changes by buying and/or selling the indicated securities. However, certain Advisers may have complete discretion in Score, including trading discretion to implement any and all changes to their model Portfolios. We compensate Advisers from the Advisory fees paid by Clients. The Portfolios offered in Score are detailed below.

Washington Crossing Advisors ("WCA") a wholly owned subsidiary of Stifel Financial Corp, and, therefore, our Affiliated Adviser. WCA uses quantitative and fundamental analysis to research asset value. WCA Portfolios available through Score include:

CONQUEST Portfolios

These Portfolios seek to add value by actively allocating assets among U.S. equities, bonds, commodities, and foreign assets through the use of ETFs. The CONQUEST approach aims to reduce overall risk exposure to individual issuers through diversification, to improve liquidity by utilizing highly marketable ETFs, and to maintain a portfolio of many asset classes throughout various market conditions. These Portfolios pursue additional returns by tactically tilting portfolio weights to assets expected to outperform in the coming months while reducing exposure to assets expected to underperform (i.e., tactical asset allocation). This Portfolio may be appropriate for investors who have conservative, balanced, moderate growth, or aggressive growth objectives. Clients may select the traditional CONQUEST Portfolios, or the CONQUEST – Sector Enhanced Portfolios in which the equity portion of the Portfolio may be focused on one or more of the Standard & Poor's® industry sectors.

Victory Portfolio

This Portfolio seeks to invest primarily in equity securities of domestic companies deemed growing, profitable, and well-capitalized. A proprietary screening and evaluation process attempts to identify companies with positive after-tax, free cash flow, high rates of return on capital, improvements in revenue growth, and margin expansion. The Portfolio is a long-only, non-leveraged strategy that uses cash as a hedge

against market and company-specific risk. This Portfolio may be appropriate for investors who have a moderate growth investment objective.

Blue Chip Rising Dividend Portfolio

This Portfolio seeks to access blue chip companies with rising dividends at attractive valuations, across multiple sectors. The Portfolio favors companies with strong balance sheets and consistent earnings that are capable of sustained growth of shareholder capital. This Portfolio may be appropriate for investors who have a moderate growth investment objective.

Laddered Bond Portfolio

This Portfolio seeks to provide a stream of income with preservation of capital. Bonds are selected based upon fundamental evaluation of balance sheet quality, trends in cash flow, interest coverage, and liquidity. Depending on the specific ladder, the Portfolio extends out for up to ten years with roughly a pro rata portion of the Portfolio invested in each year. This Portfolio may be appropriate for investors who have a moderate growth investment objective. The Adviser has full discretion over this Portfolio.

Dynamic Strategies Portfolios

The Dynamic Strategies Portfolios are managed using a low-turnover approach and invest in a broadly diversified global manner, primarily through ETFs and mutual funds, in an effort to gain exposure to multiple asset classes. Portfolio holdings may include domestic and foreign equities, fixed income (both corporate and government debt), and alternative investments (including commodities, REITs, currencies, and other hedged investments). Clients may select between the following Strategic and Active Portfolios, with investment objectives ranging from income to aggressive growth:

Strategic Portfolios – These Portfolios generally are appropriate for clients seeking tax-efficient, passive risk management with low portfolio turnover.

Active Portfolios – The Active Portfolios are managed with greater flexibility to respond to fundamental changes in the markets and/or the economy. The portfolio managers make tactical decisions aimed at anticipating and/or addressing economic trends. Volatility reduction and risk budgeting also play important roles in the investment process. Capital allocations may be adjusted as a result, or in anticipation, of changes in market conditions. These Portfolios may be appropriate for Clients with large investment portfolios who are seeking more active risk management and are willing to accept higher portfolio turnover.

Choice Financial Partners, Inc., d/b/a EquityCompass Strategies (“EquityCompass”), is a wholly owned subsidiary of Stifel Financial Corp., and, therefore, our Affiliated Adviser. EquityCompass utilizes quantitative analysis of company fundamentals and market expectations of approximately 3,000 stocks to rate them in terms of quality, risk/reward potential, and timeliness. EquityCompass Portfolios available through Score include:

Select Quality Growth & Income Portfolio

A sector-diversified equity portfolio strategy of high-quality stocks trading at attractive prices. This Portfolio holds three stocks in each of the ten S&P Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) sectors.

Quality Dividend Portfolio

A large-cap value equity Portfolio that seeks to provide asset preservation, generate current income, and develop growth in current income. The Portfolio is intended to be a satellite (that is, a companion to a core portfolio), providing income-seeking investors a superior alternative to investing in bonds.

Research Opportunity Portfolio

An equity Portfolio that combines nationally recognized fundamental research from Stifel and KBW, along with EquityCompass’ proprietary quantitative research and portfolio manager inputs to construct a portfolio of short-term oversold stocks.

Tactical Core Equity Portfolio

A risk-managed equity Portfolio that seeks to achieve returns in excess of stock market returns while minimizing volatility. It features an actively managed U.S. equity component, exposure to developed and emerging international equity markets, high-quality fixed income securities, and the Equity Risk Management Strategy. The *EquityCompass Risk Management Strategy* is a tactical asset allocation strategy that seeks to provide downside protection while allowing bull market participation, using ETFs (including, when appropriate, inverse ETFs).

Tactical Total Core Portfolio

An asset allocation Portfolio that seeks to effectively capture stock and fixed income market returns while minimizing volatility and intended to be the core of an investor’s overall portfolio. It features an actively managed U.S. equity component, exposure to developed and emerging international equity markets, high-quality fixed income securities, and the *Equity Risk Management Strategy*.

Municipal Tactical Total Core

An asset allocation Portfolio that seeks to effectively capture stock and municipal bond market returns while minimizing volatility. It features an actively managed U.S. equity component, exposure to developed and emerging international equity markets, high-quality municipal fixed income securities, and the *Equity Risk Management Strategy*.

Russell Investments, inception in 1936, has a core investment philosophy that diversification across asset classes and active management helps investors participate in potential market gains while attempting to manage risk to help them achieve their retirement goals. Russell Investments Portfolios available through Score include:

Russell Model Strategy Portfolios

These Portfolios utilize mutual funds constructed by Russell and consist of more than ten Portfolios that range in investment goals and objectives, from conservative (predominantly fixed income) to aggressive (all equity).

Russell selects sub-advisors for each of its mutual funds based upon its own due diligence.

Laffer Investments, founded by Dr. Arthur B. Laffer, is the investment management affiliate of Laffer Associates, an institutional economic investment research organization that provides research and consulting services to institutional clients around the world. Laffer Portfolios available through Score include:

Global ETF Portfolio

This Portfolio generally consists of eight equally weighted, country-specific ETFs. Selection of each country-specific ETF is based upon Laffer's global competitiveness ranking system, which determines which countries are best positioned for growth in the year ahead and incorporates variables such as exchange rates, changes to tax rates for dividends, individuals, and corporations. The Portfolio may allocate up to 25% in emerging market countries. There are special considerations associated with international investing, including the risk of currency fluctuations and political and economic events. Investing in emerging markets may involve greater risk and volatility than investing in more developed countries. This Portfolio may be appropriate for investors who have an aggressive growth investment objective.

STIFEL SOLUTIONS PROGRAM

About Our Solutions Program

Our Solutions Program ("Solutions") offers discretionary account management by certain Stifel Financial Advisors who are approved to participate in the Solutions Program.

Once a Client has established his/her investment objectives, goals, risk tolerance, and an overall asset allocation, the Financial Advisor will assist the Client in selecting an appropriate strategy for all or part of the Client's asset allocation in the Solutions account. To implement a Client's investment objectives and risk tolerance, a Financial Advisor may utilize fundamental, qualitative, quantitative, and/or technical research published by Stifel or another source. Financial Advisors in Solutions may also employ short-term purchases and/or limited options trading, provided such strategies are suitable and appropriate for the Client and, as applicable, approved for the account. However, Financial Advisors' strategies will differ, and a Financial Advisor may utilize multiple strategies and/or may customize a strategy to fit particular clients' situations. As such, the performance of accounts managed by any one Solutions Financial Advisor will differ (at times, materially). Each Client is encouraged to discuss and review with the applicable Financial Advisor how the account will be managed, as well as the specific risks applicable to the Client's Solutions account.

STIFEL OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM

About Our Opportunity Program

In our Opportunity Program ("Opportunity"), we offer Clients access to various Portfolios by Independent and Affiliated Advisers. Once a Client has established his/her investment objectives, goals, risk tolerance, and an overall asset allocation, the Financial Advisor will assist the Client in selecting one or more suitable Portfolios from those available in the Opportunity Program. Each Client should carefully review each proposed Portfolio to understand the types of investment the Portfolio will make as well as the risks related to each such Portfolio prior to investing in any Portfolio.

We have entered into a master agreement (or sub-advisory agreement) with each applicable Adviser pursuant to which the Adviser makes its Portfolios available to our Clients in one of two ways: a Portfolio may be traded directly by its manager (in such case, a "Manager-Traded Portfolio"), or we may retain trading responsibility over accounts in the Portfolio (in such case, a "Model-Based Traded ("MBT") Portfolio").

Manager-Traded Portfolios. The Adviser for a Manager-Traded Portfolio assumes full discretionary portfolio management responsibilities over each Client account invested in the Portfolio (in that capacity, the Adviser will be referred to as an "Investment Manager"), including determining the securities to be bought or sold, implementing those decisions for the invested accounts, and for all other aspects of portfolio management for the accounts. An Investment Manager may implement trades through Stifel in our capacity as a broker, or may implement trades through another broker-dealer if the Investment Manager determines, in its sole discretion, that such other broker-dealer is providing best execution in light of all applicable circumstances. Please refer to the section "*Fees and Compensation – Fees and Expenses Associated With Trading Away Practices of Investment Managers*" for more information about Investment Managers' trade-away practices.

MBT Portfolios. Alternatively, if you select an MBT Portfolio, the Adviser will provide us with its model Portfolio and ongoing updates to the same, which we will be responsible for implementing (in such capacity, the Adviser will be referred to as a "Model Adviser"). Therefore, with respect to MBT Portfolios, we will retain discretionary trading authority over Client accounts.

STIFEL HORIZON PROGRAM

About Our Horizon Program

Under the Horizon Program ("Horizon"), a Financial Advisor provides Clients non-discretionary investment advisory services, such as recommending and advising on the appropriateness of specific investments for Clients in accordance with their stated investment objectives and risk tolerance. In the Horizon Program, Financial Advisors may recommend any of the investments listed above under the section "Advisory Business," provided such strategies are suitable and appropriate for the Client. Clients are ultimately responsible for determining

whether to implement a Financial Advisor's recommendations for the account.

STIFEL CONNECT PROGRAM

About Our Connect Program

In our Connect Program ("Connect"), a Financial Advisor assists Clients in the selection of an Adviser to manage the Client's Connect account on a discretionary basis, in accordance with the terms of a separate investment advisory agreement between the Client and the Adviser (in this case, each a "Connect Adviser"). In each case, the Financial Advisor will assist the Client in establishing and maintaining a relationship with the Connect Adviser, including executing the *separate* investment advisor agreement with the Connect Adviser.

In the Connect Program, you will have a separate and direct relationship with the Connect Adviser. As such, Stifel does not have and will not exercise any discretionary authority with respect to the assets in the account. Additionally, if you are considering entering into Connect Program arrangements, you should note that Stifel performs limited due diligence with respect to Connect Advisers, as discussed under the section titled "Portfolio Manager Selection and Evaluation." As a result, you will be responsible for carefully reviewing any and all information and/or material provided by the Connect Adviser, and for determining the appropriateness of continuing the relationship.

Finally, you should note that any fees charged by a Connect Adviser and any other third-party fees or expenses incurred by the account as a result of implementing the Connect Adviser Portfolio are separate from the fees charged by Stifel, and are not considered part of the Stifel Fee or Product Fee (as defined in the section "Fees and Compensation" below).

STIFEL FUNDAMENTALS PROGRAM

About Our Fundamentals Program

Under our Fundamentals Program ("Fundamentals"), Financial Advisors assist Clients in selecting from a set of proprietary Portfolios that utilize mutual funds and/or ETFs, consistent with each Client's specified investment objectives, risk tolerance, and overall asset allocation. Clients grant Stifel discretionary authority to invest account assets in accordance with the chosen Portfolio, and to rebalance such account assets periodically in order to implement any updates made to a Portfolio.

Mutual Fund, Strategic ETF, and Core American Portfolios

Stifel's Traditional Products Research Group (the "TPRG") is responsible for these Portfolios, including the specific mutual funds and ETFs to populate each of the available Portfolios. In an effort to determine the most appropriate asset allocation for each Fundamentals Portfolio, the TPRG collaborates with a third-party vendor that provides expertise in the development of capital market assumptions and asset allocation modeling. The TPRG is also responsible for determining which mutual funds and/or ETFs will be held in the Mutual Fund, Strategic ETF,

and/or Core American Portfolios. Portfolio holdings generally are selected from the Firm's Mutual Fund Recommended List and/or Stifel ETF Recommended List. On an ongoing basis, the TPRG reviews funds held in the Mutual Fund, Strategic ETF, and/or Core American Portfolios and may (but need not) adjust such Portfolios when a position is dropped from the applicable Recommended List, or when the team determines that a different investment represents a better investment opportunity for the particular Portfolio.

Option of Traditional or Alternative Models. Each Portfolio listed above is available in a traditional model (that is, one that invests solely in traditional mutual funds and/or ETFs) or an alternative model that includes an allocation to non-traditional or alternative mutual funds. Alternative models differ from traditional models in that they seek an absolute return and seek to manage risk and volatility by investing in traditional and non-traditional asset classes in a less constrained manner. A non-traditional fund may be defined by how its manager invests (that is, use of alternative strategies), as well as what the fund invests in (such as, for example, investing in real estate or commodities). In general, alternative mutual funds may employ a wide variety of investment techniques, including (but not limited to) shorting of equities and credit and the use of derivatives or leverage. *Clients should carefully review the risks associated with specific alternative models being considered for investment.*

Tactical ETF Portfolios

The Tactical ETF Portfolios are aligned with the tactical asset allocation models ("Tactical Asset Allocation Models") created by Stifel's Investment Strategy Group ("Investment Strategy"). Investment Strategy is also responsible for the research and selection of ETFs to populate the models.

Investment Strategy is responsible for determining the most appropriate asset allocation for each model made available in the Tactical ETF Portfolios and for determining which ETFs will populate each Portfolio. The team selects ETFs from the universe of ETFs that has been approved for the Stifel platform generally. Investment Strategy monitors the ETFs held in each Portfolio and adjusts the holdings when there is a shift in the allocation to a specific asset class or when Investment Strategy decides that different ETF represents a better investment opportunity for the portfolio.

Additional information about the processes employed by each of TPRG and/or Investment Strategy for the development of the Portfolios available in the Fundamentals Program is provided in the – "Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies, and Risk of Loss" below.

STIFEL CUSTOM ADVISORY PORTFOLIO PROGRAM

About Our Custom Advisory Portfolio Program

The Custom Advisory Portfolio Program offers clients investment management services utilizing various investment products ("Investment Products") within a single account. Based on a Client's specified risk tolerance, investment

objectives, and overall asset allocation, Financial Advisors will recommend and assist the Client in selecting eligible Investment Products in which to invest in order to implement all or a part of the Client’s asset allocation in the Custom Advisory Portfolio. Eligible Investment Products for the Program include mutual funds, ETFs, and/or various Portfolios, including Portfolios that are also available in our Score, Opportunity, and Fundamentals Programs. Clients must approve Investment Product recommendations prior to implementation. Each Investment Product selected will be segmented to a specific portion of the Client’s Custom Advisory Portfolio (each, a “Sleeve”). Any mutual funds or ETFs purchased must be selected from the list of eligible and approved funds (determined in our sole discretion). In addition, Clients also grant Stifel limited discretionary authority to act as overlay manager, to determine the specific Portfolios to be made available for the Custom Advisory Portfolio Program, and to rebalance Client accounts, from time to time, to within a reasonable range (set by us) of the target allocation for each sleeve comprising Client’s Custom Advisory Portfolio.

STIFEL SPECTRUM PROGRAM

About Our Spectrum Program

Our Spectrum Program (“Spectrum”) offers discretionary portfolio management, combining a strategic mutual fund allocation, a tactical ETF strategy, and the *EquityCompass Risk Management Strategy* (see discussion of the strategy under Score Program – Tactical Core Equity Portfolio, above) in a single account.

Financial Advisors assist Clients in selecting from multiple strategic mutual fund and tactical ETF Portfolio combinations, consistent with the Client’s specified risk tolerance, investment objectives, and overall asset allocation. For the portion of the Spectrum account invested in a strategic mutual fund Portfolio, such Portfolios are consistent with those available for the Fundamentals Mutual Fund Portfolio.

STIFEL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT CONSULTING PROGRAM

About Our Investment Management Consulting Program

This Program is only available on a limited basis. The Investment Management Consulting (“IMC”) Program offers a comprehensive and structured approach to guide Clients through investment planning and portfolio management for one or more accounts, all covered under a single Advisory Agreement. The IMC Program is available for Clients looking for bundled investment advisory, consulting, discretionary investment management, trade execution and clearing, custodial, and/or performance reporting services. The services provided generally include a review of the Client’s investment objectives and goals, the development of an appropriate personalized investment strategy, and ongoing monitoring of investments.

Depending on the Client’s objectives and goals, Financial Advisors may assist clients in selecting an appropriate Portfolio

made available in the Program by Independent and or Affiliated Advisers. These Portfolios are generally traded by the Adviser; however, there may be some Portfolios for which we have assumed trading discretion. Please refer to the discussion of manager trade-away practices under the section “Stifel Opportunity Program” above, including the discussion of the costs and other implications of such trade-away activity to clients invested in applicable Manager-Traded Portfolios,

Alternatively, Financial Advisors may recommend that Clients implement all or a portion of their asset allocation through direct investments in mutual funds and/or ETFs. Finally, Financial Advisors may recommend that certain eligible Clients invest a portion of their assets in private investment funds (such as hedge funds). In each case, Clients must approve each investment recommendations prior to implementation by their Financial Advisor.

At a Client’s request, Financial Advisors may also provide investment management consulting services on assets held with a custodian other than Stifel.

SEI ASSET MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

About SEI Asset Management Program

This Program is not open to new accounts. Clients enrolled in the SEI Asset Management (“SEI”) Program have access to the discretionary portfolio management services of SEI Asset Management Corporation, an Independent Adviser. Financial Advisors will recommend, and Clients will select, an asset allocation model managed by SEI, comprised of SEI’s mutual funds, consistent with such Client’s specified investment objectives, risk tolerance, and overall asset allocation. SEI utilizes multiple institutional managers as advisers to the SEI mutual funds. SEI is responsible for fund selection for its models and rebalancing of accounts. SEI Trust Company (a subsidiary of SEI Asset Management Corporation) acts as the transfer agent and custodian for each Client account that SEI manages on a discretionary basis.

General Fee Information

Fees for the SEI Asset Management Program are set by SEI, and are not subject to Stifel’s control. The fee schedule for the SEI Program is as follows:

<u>Account Value</u>	<u>Annual Fee</u>
First \$ 399,999	3.00%
\$400,000 – \$ 499,999	2.50%
\$500,000 – \$ 999,999	2.00%
\$1,000,000 – \$1,999,999	1.75%
\$2,000,000 +	1.60%

Subject to Client authorization, SEI Trust Company debits Client accounts for the fee and remits a portion of the payment to Stifel on a quarterly basis.

OTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROGRAMS

Where Stifel acts as your portfolio manager, and if we believe it is appropriate based upon the investment Portfolio you have selected, we may recommend that you allocate, or we may take steps on a discretionary basis to allocate, as applicable, (i) your account assets to investments that meet a lower risk tolerance than the one applicable to the investment objectives you have indicated and/or (ii) a portion of your assets to cash.

Where we have assumed trading discretion over an Adviser's Portfolio (i.e., for MBT Portfolios), there may be times when we are unable to implement an Adviser's recommendations for its model Portfolio due to various restrictions to which we are subject as a firm. For example, we have adopted a policy generally prohibiting Stifel personnel from purchasing securities issued by our parent company for the direct benefit of any Advisory account. If an Adviser were to relay model Portfolio recommendations that require the purchase of such securities, we would not implement such recommendation but would, instead, request a substitute from the Adviser or (if the Adviser is unable or unwilling to provide a substitute) determine an appropriate substitute for the recommended position (which may include re-allocating among existing position, holding cash, or other reasonable alternatives). As such, Clients should be aware that MBT Portfolios, as implemented at Stifel, may differ from an Adviser's marketed Portfolio.

As discussed above, we enter into written Advisory Agreements with Clients acknowledging our Advisory relationship, disclosing our obligations when acting in an Advisory capacity, and describing the roles and responsibilities of each party.

FEES AND COMPENSATION

For the services provided under the applicable Advisory Agreement, Clients generally pay an annual asset-based "wrap" fee at the rates set forth below (the "Advisory Account Fee," the "fee," or the "Advisory fee"). The Advisory Account Fee consists of—(i) a fee for the services provided by Stifel (referred to as the "Stifel Fee" or "Stifel Advisory Fee") and, if applicable, (ii) a fee for the portfolio management services with respect to each Portfolio in which a Client's Advisory account is invested (the "Product Fee(s)"). For Portfolios with no Product Fee, the Stifel Fee constitutes the entire Advisory Account Fee.

The Stifel Fee

For the Programs described in this brochure, each Client pays an asset-based wrap Stifel Fee of up to 2.5%, which covers our administrative, account reporting, and investment advisory services, trade execution for trades through or with Stifel, compensation to the Financial Advisor, and, as applicable, custody of securities, portfolio management, and clearing services. The Stifel Fee may be negotiable, in our sole discretion.

Product Fees

Depending on the Program and/or Portfolio selected, Clients will also be responsible for the applicable Product Fees used to

compensate for any portfolio or model management services provided by an Adviser and/or internal Stifel units to the Portfolio. Product Fees vary by Program and/or Portfolio (including based on whether it is Manager-Traded or MBT), are generally not negotiable, and generally range as follows—

- **Fundamentals Program** – 0.15% charged with respect to the Tactical ETF Portfolios only.
- **Score Program**: Up to 0.50%, depending on the Portfolio.
- **Manager-Traded Portfolios**: Between 0.10% to 1.00%, depending on the applicable Portfolio.
- **MBT Portfolios (including those in the Custom Advisory Portfolio Program)**: Between 0.20% to 0.50%, depending on the applicable Portfolio.
- **Spectrum Program**: Between 0.10 to 0.20%, depending on the Portfolio.
- **IMC Program**: Between 0.28% to 0.65%, depending on the Portfolio. Certain Advisers may charge a *premium* in addition to the Product Fee set forth above. In such cases, Clients will be responsible for any premium charged, the amount of which will be disclosed to the Client prior to enrollment with the particular Adviser.
- **Connect Program**: Stifel does NOT charge a Product Fee with respect to the Connect Program. **However**, the Connect Adviser *charges a separate fee* for its services, in each case as specifically set forth in a Client's separate agreement with such Connect Adviser. Clients should consider the total cost of accessing the Connect Adviser Portfolio when considering enrolling into a Connect Program arrangement and/or continuing with such arrangement.

Product Fees set forth above are deducted on a quarterly basis. For certain Programs (e.g., the Spectrum Program and the Custom Advisory Portfolio Program), the actual Product Fee charged will vary as the percentage charged is a weighted average based on each sleeve's allocation (actual or target) relative to the total portfolio.

Finally, certain investments (such as mutual funds, closed-end funds, UITs, ETFs, hedge funds, and other collective investment vehicles) that may be held in Clients' accounts have additional fees and expenses, such as management and other fees, that are not part of the Advisory Account Fees; Clients will be separately responsible for any such fees and expenses.

How We Charge For Advisory Services Covered in This Brochure

Each Client's Advisory Account Fee is set forth on the applicable fee schedule(s) of the Advisory Agreement between Stifel and the Client for that account. Actual fees charged may be negotiated or discounted in Stifel's (and, if applicable, the Adviser's) discretion and, therefore, may differ from those outlined in the fee schedules outlined above. A Client may pay more or less than seemingly similarly situated Clients,

depending on the particular circumstances of the Client (such as the pricing model, the size and scope of the Client relationship, additional or differing levels of service, and/or the asset class to which each Portfolio is attributable, as applicable). Clients that negotiate fees with different tiers, including flat fees, may end up paying a higher fee than as set forth in this brochure as a result of fluctuations in the amount of the Client's assets under management and/or account performance.

There are certain other fee schedules that are no longer offered to new Clients or are only offered to a limited number of Clients, depending on their individual circumstances. There are also other fee schedules that may apply to certain Portfolios in the Programs referenced above.

Any increase in the Advisory Account Fee will be agreed upon between Client and Stifel in writing or, if allowable under the applicable Advisory Agreement with the Client, upon prior written notice. We may, however, determine to lower any portion of the Advisory Account Fee at any time, without notice to the affected Client(s).

Calculation of Advisory Account Fees

The Advisory Account Fee is generally due quarterly in advance. The initial fee for each account is charged in full as of the effective date (as defined in the Advisory Agreement) of the Advisory relationship relating to that account, in each case based on the account's opening market value. In calculating the annual Advisory Account Fee (or any partial period thereof), we assume a 360-day annual period. For the initial fee, the period for which the fee relates is the effective date through the last day of the calendar quarter in which the account is opened, and is prorated accordingly. Thereafter, the fee is based on the account's closing market value on the last business day of the previous calendar quarter. The fee is generally due on the business day following the assessment day.

For most Programs in this brochure, the two components of the Advisory Account Fee (i.e., the Stifel Fee and the related Product Fee(s)) are assessed as of the same day and, thereafter, are due on the same day. However, for the Custom Advisory Portfolio Program, the Stifel Fee is due quarterly in advance, while Product Fees payable to any third-party Adviser are due quarterly in arrears. *Notwithstanding the two payment dates, however, each portion is calculated based on the value of the account as of the same date* – the account's closing market value as of the last business day of the previous calendar quarter (or in the case of a new account, as of the previous day's closing market value).

In valuing assets in all Client accounts held at our firm, we rely on publicly recorded information, use various vendor systems that we have reviewed and reasonably believe to be reliable, and/or rely on valuations provided by the entities holding assets and/or accounts that are part of a Client's Advisory relationship with us (such as, for example, administrators or other service providers to hedge funds or other private funds in which our clients are investors or other brokerage firms, banks, or other entities serving as qualified custodians of our client assets). For assets held at Stifel, if prices are unavailable, we determine prices in good faith to reflect an understanding of the assets' fair

market value. Once the Advisory Account Fee is assessed and deducted, we do not adjust it for fluctuations in value during the quarter due to market conditions. However, *with respect to accounts held in custody at Stifel*, we will charge a prorated fee on additional contributions made during a quarter and/or issue a rebate to Client for withdrawals from the Client's Account, to the extent such additions or withdrawals are valued at more than \$25,000 and would generate a pro-rated quarterly fee or rebate of more than \$25. In each case, the fee addition and/or rebate will be calculated based on the number of calendar days remaining in the quarter. We may, in our sole discretion, make changes to these thresholds at any time, without notice to Clients. *You are responsible for monitoring your account(s) to minimize transfers that would increase applicable fees or otherwise result in increased charges.* In certain limited circumstances (such as with respect to accounts subject to a flat-fee arrangements, or accounts held with other custodians, etc.), we will neither charge a prorated fee on intra-quarter contributions nor provide a rebate on intra-quarter withdrawals from the Account.

Fee Householding

You may request to household eligible Advisory accounts held at our firm (that is, combine multiple eligible Advisory accounts for purposes of calculating the Stifel Fee in order to qualify for available lower fee tiers in each Program). Fee householding can result in lower overall fees if the aggregate household value is high enough to qualify for lower fee tiers in the applicable Programs. You can fee household eligible Advisory accounts across multiple Programs. *You should note, however, that it is your responsibility, not Stifel's, to determine whether you have multiple eligible Advisory accounts that could be household and potentially result in lower overall fees to you.* You should contact your Financial Advisor(s) for more detailed information about fee householding Advisory Accounts, including whether your accounts are eligible to be grouped into a fee household for this purpose.

Deduction of Advisory Account Fees

Unless we agree otherwise, the Advisory Account Fee is automatically deducted each quarter from available cash or cash equivalents, including money market funds, in your Advisory account on the billing date. Per the direction in our Advisory Agreements with you, where necessary, we rebalance or liquidate sufficient securities in your account to generate sufficient funds to cover the fee in the following order: first, we liquidate mutual fund positions, followed by equities securities (including ETFs), unit investment trusts, corporate bonds, municipal bonds, and any other securities. You should note that incidental, special, or indirect damages (including, but not limited to, lost profits, trading losses, or tax consequences) may be incurred in the account as a result of such rebalance or liquidation to pay for fees. You (not Stifel) are responsible for any such damages or losses.

In addition, subject to agreement between us, other permissible fee payment options may include:

- **Letter of Authorization ("LOA"):** Pursuant to an LOA, the Advisory Account Fee may be deducted from a separate Stifel

account of the same Client on the billing date each quarter. If the designated account has insufficient funds, we reserve the right to automatically debit the Advisory account to collect the amount due.

- **Client Invoice:** In certain limited cases, Clients may request to receive an invoice on the billing date each quarter and agree to remit the fee payment promptly. If the fee payment is not received within a reasonable time, we reserve the right to automatically debit the Advisory account to collect the amount due. If the fee payment is debited from a qualified plan and funds are received thereafter, the receivable shall be considered a contribution.

Refund of Fees Upon Termination

In the event of a termination, Clients generally will receive a pro rata refund of any pre-paid quarterly fee based upon the number of days remaining in the quarter of termination.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, we reserve the right to retain pre-paid quarterly fees if the Advisory Agreement is terminated at any time within the first quarter of the first year of service (for example, where a Client opens an Advisory account, executes multiple trades at no transaction costs, then seeks to close the Advisory account before the end of the calendar quarter).

Compensation in Connection With the Termination of a Client's Account Relationship With Stifel

Although we do not charge additional fees in connection with the termination of an Advisory Agreement, if a Client elects to distribute or transfer all of the assets to an account at another financial institution, the Client will be charged a \$100 account transfer fee.

Unsupervised Assets

If a Client's account includes "unsupervised assets" that are excluded from billing (which may include, but are not limited to, positions in our parent company stock (SF), or other assets that are deemed ineligible for the Program in which the account is enrolled but are permitted to be held in the account as an accommodation to the Client, Clients should note that any such unsupervised assets are not considered part of our Advisory relationship. *Our firm specifically disclaims any fiduciary obligations with respect to unsupervised assets held in a Client's Advisory account.* This means that we do not undertake to monitor any such assets even though they are held in the Advisory account. The unsupervised assets are held in the account solely as an accommodation to the Client. Clients can request a list of the unsupervised assets held in their accounts at any time, without charge, from their Financial Advisor.

Fees and Expenses Not Included, and Incurred in Addition to, the Advisory Account Fee

The Advisory Account Fee does not include the fees, charges, and expenses outlined below. If applicable, you will be charged said fees, charges, and expenses in addition to the Advisory Account Fee. If an investment product purchased for the benefit of your account is offered by a prospectus or other offering document, you should review the information about the related fees, charges, and expenses set forth in such prospectus or other offering document.

Fees and Expenses Associated With Trades Executed By Investment Managers Away From Stifel

Each Investment Manager (including Connect Advisers) that manages all or a portion of a Client's Advisory account retains the authority to determine the execution venue for transactions in the Client accounts. As such, Investment Managers may determine to execute trades through other broker-dealer(s) (known as "trading away") if the Investment Manager determines, in its sole discretion, such trades would be in the best interests of the affected Clients, such as to satisfy its best execution obligations. An Investment Manager may trade away for a variety of reasons, the type of securities that the Investment Manager is buying or selling, or because the Investment Manager is aggregating Stifel Client trades with other non-Stifel client accounts (as further explained below), or for some other reason determined in the sole discretion of the applicable Investment Manager. If an Investment Manager trades away from Stifel, impacted Clients may incur additional execution costs for the trade.

You should ask your Financial Advisor about the Investment Manager's trading away practices before selecting, or while reviewing, a particular investment strategy. You should also review each applicable Investment Manager's Form ADV Part 2A Brochure for specific information about that Investment Manager's trade-away practices.

If additional execution costs (whether as a commission or markup or markdown) are incurred, the Client will be responsible for such execution costs in addition to the Advisory Account Fee. Additional information about Investment Manager trade-away practices is provided below in the section "Brokerage Practices" of this brochure.

Other Additional Fees and Expenses

In addition to the fees and expenses explained above, the expenses that are not a part of the Advisory Account Fee include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Brokerage commissions, markups, markdowns, spreads, and odd-lot differentials on transactions directed by an Investment Manager and effected through or with a broker and/or dealer other than Stifel (that is, costs relating to trades away from our firm).
- To the extent allowed in the account, markups and markdowns on agency cross trades or principal transactions effected by an Investment Manager through or with us (prices at which securities are purchased in principal transactions from other dealers and executed by us acting as agent will be computed by other dealers in the customary manner based on the prevailing inter-dealer market price).
- Any interest expense charged to the account (or to related accounts in connection with the account's assets), including, but not limited to, margin interest charged with respect to any direct or cross-collateralized margin loans.
- The entire public offering price (including underwriting commissions or discounts) on securities purchased from an underwriter or dealer (excluding our firm) involved in a distribution of securities.

- Exchange fees, transfer or other taxes, and other fees required by law, including (but not limited to), taxes or fees imposed by any foreign entity in connection with securities transactions in the account.
- Account, third-party administration, and/or termination fees associated with external qualified retirement plans (including IRAs).
- “Pass-through fees” charged by third parties with respect to any securities relating to the portfolio, including, but not limited to, pass-through fees charged (including any wire charges or conversion fees) in connection with ADRs by the sponsors of such ADRs as custody-related expenses.
- Wire transfer fees (including those associated with alternative investment transactions).
- Fees or expenses related to trading in foreign securities (other than commissions otherwise payable to Stifel).
- Fees, charges, or other costs and expenses related to collective investment vehicles, such as closed-end funds, mutual funds, ETFs, index funds, unit investment trusts, REITs, or other investment vehicles, such as private funds (including, but not limited to, annual operating expenses, portfolio management, distribution and marketing, early redemption fees, or similar fees, in each case as outlined in the individual fund prospectus, private offering memorandum, or similar document).
- Any other costs associated with products or services not specifically included in the services described in the applicable Advisory Agreement.

Each Client should carefully consider the overall cost when selecting a Program or Portfolio.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON FEES AND OTHER COMPENSATION

Compensation to Financial Advisors

We remit a percentage (“Payout Rate”) of the Stifel Advisory Fee and, if applicable, commissions that we receive from Clients to our Financial Advisors. Payout Rates generally range from 25% to 50%; the applicable percentage paid to your Financial Advisor will depend on your Financial Advisor’s employment agreements and arrangements with us and the total amount of revenue your Financial Advisor generates from all clients (including brokerage clients). This percentage may be increased prospectively, depending on the total revenue the Financial Advisor has generated.

Some Financial Advisors are eligible for special incentive compensation and other benefits based on client assets (including assets held in Advisory accounts) and the total revenue generated (including the Advisory Account Fees). These incentives and benefits can be in the form of recruitment and/or retention bonuses, and forgivable loans. These incentives and benefits generally increase as a Financial Advisor brings more client assets to Stifel, and generates more revenue.

Financial Advisors are also eligible to receive other benefits based on the revenue the Financial Advisor generates from sales of products and/or services to clients (including Advisory services). These benefits include recognition levels that confer a variety of benefits, conferences (e.g., for education, networking, training, and personal and professional development), and other noncash compensation that generally increase in value as the revenue the Financial Advisor generates increases. Such benefits also include equity awards from our parent company, Stifel Financial Corp., and payments that can be in the form of repayable or forgivable loans (e.g., for retention purposes or to assist an advisor to grow his or her securities practice). These benefits create an incentive for a Financial Advisor to recommend certain transactions, products, and services over others in order to obtain the benefits.

Some of our Financial Advisors also serve as branch managers or in other positions with supervisory responsibility over other Financial Advisors in the branches in which they are located. In such cases, we also compensate them for their supervisory activities based on revenues generated by Financial Advisors in the branch office. When a supervisor is compensated based on sales of the person he or she is supervising, the supervisor has an incentive to allow and/or encourage the supervised person to recommend investments that generate greater compensation for the supervised person and, thereby, the supervisor. The particular compensation arrangements between a Financial Advisor and his or her branch manager also can create incentives for the Financial Advisor to recommend transactions, investment products, and services that generate greater amounts of revenue for us, the branch manager, and the Financial Advisor.

In general, Clients should note that their Financial Advisor’s compensation creates a potential material conflict of interest for such Financial Advisor to provide Clients with recommendations that result in his or her receipt of greater compensation and benefits.

Certain Compensation in Addition to the Stifel Advisory Fee Stifel, our Financial Advisors, and our affiliates may, from time to time, receive additional compensation in connection with certain types of assets in which Clients’ Advisory accounts are invested, as discussed in more detail below. *To the extent received in connection with Advisory accounts, this compensation is in addition to the Stifel Advisory Fee that a you pay to us for our investment advisory services.* **The receipt of such additional compensation presents a conflict of interest for us as it creates an incentive for our Financial Advisors to recommend investment products based on the compensation received rather than solely based on your investment needs.** You have the option to purchase investment products that we recommend through brokers who are not affiliated with us.

Brokerage Commissions

For all fee-based Programs, the Stifel Fee includes the costs associated with our execution services. We generally do not charge separate brokerage commissions (including markups or markdowns) for trades that we execute for wrap accounts in the Programs covered in this brochure, unless disclosed to the affected Client (such as in the Advisory Agreement or its schedules and addendums, or in other applicable documents).

However, the majority of our Financial Advisors are authorized to provide both brokerage and Advisory services to clients. As a result, Financial Advisors may effect securities transactions for commissions in connection with brokerage accounts, including brokerage accounts that you own in addition to your Advisory accounts.

Compensation From Funds and Other Collective Investment Vehicles

You will incur direct fees (e.g., management fees) and expenses for investments in mutual funds, ETFs, closed-end funds, UITs, money market funds, and other collective investment vehicles (collectively referred to as “Funds” or “Collective Investment Vehicles”). Such fees and expenses are included in the price of the securities and are described in the Fund’s prospectus or other offering document. Depending on the type of share class, interest, or CUSIP that you hold, the applicable Fund and/or its affiliates may make certain payments to us in connection with your investments in the product. We generally strive to invest Advisory Program assets in the least expensive type of share class, interest, or CUSIP that is made available to our firm (for this purpose, such share class, interest, or CUSIP will be referred to as “advisory” share classes). For example, we have entered into agreements with various Funds (or agents acting on their behalf), pursuant to which we have access to “advisory” share classes of such Funds and are able to convert “non-advisory” share classes held in our Advisory accounts into the desired “advisory” share class. However, certain Funds may not offer “advisory” share classes; moreover, we may allow a limited universe of legacy “non-advisory” share classes to be held in some of Advisory accounts for a period, pending a conversion into the appropriate “advisory” share class.

With respect to Fund shares, we receive various fees and compensation, including (but may not be limited to):

(i) *Omnibus Fees:* A number of Funds compensate us for providing record-keeping and related services associated with Fund shares held in client accounts (both brokerage and Advisory). Our firm processes some fund transactions with Fund families on an “omnibus” basis, which means we consolidate our clients’ trades into one daily trade with the Fund, and therefore maintain all pertinent individual shareholder information for the Fund. The compensation for these services is commonly referred to as “omnibus fees.” Not all Fund companies pay sub-accounting fees, and the sub-accounting fees that we receive vary by Fund company. Any sub-accounting payments made to our firm are paid from investor assets in the Funds, but in some cases may be subsidized in part by affiliates or the distributor of the Funds. We generally receive omnibus fees for all Fund shares of each applicable Fund, including in connection with “advisory” share classes held in our Client accounts. We do not require our Financial Advisors to recommend Funds providing sub-accounting compensation; additionally, to mitigate the conflict as to share class recommendations, we do not share any omnibus fees received from Funds with our Financial Advisors.

(ii) *Networking Fees.* Fund families that are not traded omnibus are traded on a networked basis, which means our firm

submits a separate trade for each individual client to the Fund and therefore maintain certain elements of the shareholder information. Such Funds may compensate us for providing these services, which would otherwise be required to be provided by the Fund. Not all Fund companies pay networking fees, and networking fees that we receive vary by Fund company. Any networking fees that Fund companies pay to us are deducted from the Fund manager’s assets, but in some cases may be subsidized, in part, by affiliates or the distributor of such Funds. As with omnibus fees, to the extent received, we will receive networking fees in connection with all shares of each applicable Fund, including any “advisory” shares of such Funds held in Client accounts. We do not require our Financial Advisors to recommend Funds providing sub-accounting compensation; additionally, to mitigate the conflict as to share class recommendations, we do not share any networking fees received from Funds with our Financial Advisors.

(iii) *12b-1 Distribution Fees (“12b-1s”).* These fees are paid by Funds to compensate us for providing distribution-related, administrative, and informational services, as applicable, associated with each Fund. Service fees are included in the “annual operating expenses” or “expense ratio” charged and reported by each Fund, and such amounts are deducted directly from the Funds automatically. To the extent received, we generally rebate back to the Client any 12b-1 fees received in connection with Fund shares held in fee-based Advisory accounts, to the extent that such 12b-1 fees relate to the period during which the account has been enrolled in one of our fee-based Advisory Programs.

(iv) *Marketing Support and Revenue-Sharing Payments.* We receive compensation from Funds for providing ongoing marketing, training, and education to our Financial Advisors with respect to the Fund sponsor and its products. To the extent received, these revenue sharing payments are in addition to any fees and other charges that we earn directly from the Advisory Clients invested in the applicable Funds. Revenue-sharing is generally paid from a Fund manager’s assets and does not directly reduce the amount invested by an investor, but is ultimately a cost borne by investors. Not all Fund companies pay revenue sharing, and revenue sharing that is paid by a particular Fund company varies. Revenue-sharing payments are subject to volume discounting, such that as total assets placed by our clients at a Fund company increase, the basis points paid for those assets will decrease. Additionally, some Fund families may make fixed payments in addition to the above payments or instead of those payments. We do not require our Financial Advisors to recommend Funds providing revenue-sharing, nor do our Financial Advisors directly share in any of the revenue-sharing payments.

(v) *Training and Education Expense Contributions:* Investment companies and/or their affiliates may subsidize a portion of the cost of training and achievement seminars offered to our Financial Advisors through specialized and/or firm-wide programs and consulting training forums. These seminars are designed to provide education and training to Financial

Advisors who recommend (or are considering recommending) the product to Clients. The subsidies may vary, and no vendor company is required to participate in the seminars or to contribute to the costs of the seminars in order to have their products or services available on our platform. A Financial Advisor's attendance and participation in these events, as well as the increased exposure to vendors who sponsor the events, may lead the Financial Advisor to recommend the products and services of those vendors as compared to those who do not.

(vi) *Fees Received By Our Affiliates:* Some of our affiliates serve as investment adviser or model providers, or provide other services to various Funds and other Collective Investment Vehicles that are made available on our Advisory Platform. Our Financial Advisors may recommend and/or purchase any of these products to or for Advisory Clients. If our affiliate is associated with a product that is purchased in a Client's Advisory account, the affiliate generally will receive fees (or a share thereof) from the product, its sponsor or other related person, even where the product is also subject to Stifel's Advisory Account Fees). *Our firm does not directly share in any of the fees received by our affiliates for their services to any such Funds.* However, as part of the affiliated group, we may receive indirect benefits from such compensation through our parent company. We may limit the purchase of such products in some of our Programs at any time, in our sole discretion. If allowed in an eligible Program, we rebate the value representing the pro-rated fee or other compensation received by our Affiliated Adviser in connection with investment products held in *Client retirement accounts.* We may also, in our sole discretion, decide to provide similar rebates to non-retirement accounts in certain (but not necessarily all) Programs. However, we generally will **not** provide rebates for products held by non-retirement accounts in our non-discretionary Programs. Clients entitled to a rebate should note, however, that such rebates are determined retroactively, based on the value of the product (e.g., Fund shares) in the Client account as of a pre-determined date (typically, at month-end), and are paid a quarter or more in arrears (without interest). Moreover, our process only reviews whether an affiliated product is held in Advisory accounts as of the beginning of the month and, thereafter, assumes that each such product is held (or not held) in the account(s) for the remainder of the month. *As such, an eligible Advisory account that purchases an affiliated product in the middle of the month will **not** receive any rebate for that month and, similarly, an eligible Advisory account that sells an affiliated product in the middle of the month will receive a rebate for the entire month even though the position was only held for part of the month.*

Funds generally are sold by prospectus only. The prospectus contains important information about the specific Fund being offered and should be reviewed carefully before investing. Although paid by a Fund company (or its distributor or other affiliated person), any compensation set forth above that we receive from Funds is derived, directly or indirectly, from fees that investors pay to the Fund. The amount of compensation

received will vary depending on our arrangement with the applicable Fund. Each Fund's prospectus typically describes the amount of compensation to be paid for specified services provided to its shareholders. If such payments are received in connection with shares held in Advisory accounts, the Fund companies will continue to pay us for such shares for the duration of the Advisory arrangement and, in some circumstances, may extend payments beyond the termination of the Advisory Agreements if Clients continue to hold Fund shares through brokerage accounts held at Stifel. A listing of the types and ranges of compensation that we receive from various Fund companies is also available under the Important Disclosures section of www.stifel.com. We highly encourage all Clients to review this information carefully. *As set forth above, to the extent we do receive additional compensation discussed above from Funds relating to shares held in an Advisory account, we generally will rebate any such additional compensation to Clients in the Programs covered by this brochure as follows: (i) we rebate 12b-1 fees received to all Client accounts (i.e., both taxable and retirement accounts), (ii) with respect to omnibus, networking, and/or revenue-sharing payments, we rebate the pro rata portion of such compensation to retirement accounts. For all other additional compensation discussed above, unless specifically stated above in the discussion of the type of compensation, we retain any such compensation received and do not rebate any portion thereof to the Clients. For cases where we rebate all or a portion of the compensation to the Client, such rebates are provided only to the extent the compensation is attributable to the period after the effective date of the Advisory arrangement for the account. Furthermore, we reserve the right to offset from the rebated amount, the value of our actual costs to provide the services to the accounts for which we are being paid by the applicable Fund.*

Interest and Similar Compensation

To the extent that the automatic sweep option for available cash in a Client's taxable account is set to one of our insured bank deposit programs, we may (depending on the type of account) receive fees from participating banks in the program in connection with such Client funds. The fees (if any) that we receive are intended to, among other things, reimburse for the costs that we incur in connection with such program. However, from time to time, the fees that we receive and retain may be more or less than the actual costs incurred.

As discussed elsewhere in this brochure, we do not allow Advisory accounts to use margin except in limited circumstances. With respect to any such margin transactions, Client that are specifically approved to engage in such margin transactions should note that we charge interest on the amount borrowed and, if the proceeds are used to purchase securities in the Advisory account, our Advisory fees for the account are based on the market value of the account without regard to the amount borrowed. *We do not reduce our Stifel Advisory Fee by the value of any interest or similar payments that we receive from Clients in this regard.* Each Client is strongly advised to carefully review the impact (including the long-term effects) that each of these practices will have on their overall account.

Finally, to the extent that a Client uses Advisory assets as collateral for loans taken from our affiliated bank ("Credit Line

Loans”), we typically (but not always) receive a fee (expressed as a percentage of the outstanding loan balance) from the affiliated bank for the duration of the loan. To the extent received, we pay a portion of any such fees received to the Financial Advisor. These payments present a material conflict of interest for us, as they create a financial incentive for the Financial Advisor to recommend such Credit Line Loans on the basis of the additional compensation to be received. Additional information about Credit Line Loans is provided under the section “Brokerage Practices” below.

Float

As set out in the section “Cash Sweep Program” below, if we serve as custodian of a Client account, uninvested cash in the account is generally swept in accordance with the option selected by the Client. However, as part of our custody services, we retain a proportionate share of any interest earned on aggregate cash balances held in any Advisory accounts that are awaiting investment (including funds from transfers into the account and assets pending distribution from the account). Such retained interest is generally Federal Funds Rates. Our potential receipt of float income creates a material conflict of interest when we and/or our Financial Advisors provide Clients with a recommendation because funding of an Advisory account may result in additional compensation for us depending on the timing of the transfer of assets to the account. For example, if we receive the cash after the close of business on a day in which the NYSE was open, we may earn interest or receive other benefits through the end of the second following business day.

Revenue Sharing and Other Compensation Arrangements With Private Investment Funds or Their Sponsors

We may allow certain Financial Advisors to recommend investments in approved private investment funds with respect to accounts invested in certain Advisory Programs. From time to time, we may enter into revenue-sharing and other compensation arrangements with such private investment funds (or the managers or sponsors of such private funds) with respect to our Clients’ investments in such private investment funds. For example, we may enter into placement agent agreements pursuant to which our firm and our Financial Advisors receive upfront and/or ongoing placement fees from funds, or their agents or affiliates, as compensation for recommending and/or selling shares or interests of the fund to our clients. In certain cases, the fees that we receive from such private investment funds may be in addition to, and in other cases, in lieu of, the Stifel Fee otherwise chargeable with respect to the investment. To the extent that we receive placement fees and/or have a revenue-sharing or other compensation arrangement with respect to private investment fund shares or interests purchased in an Advisory account, the affected Client will typically receive, at or prior to the time the investment is made, disclosures relating to the fees and compensation that our firm and/or the Financial Advisor will receive in connection with the investment (including, to the extent applicable, any ongoing payments to be received in connection with the investment). Clients should carefully consider such arrangements in determining whether to implement a Financial Advisor’s recommendations relating to private investment funds.

Insurance Commissions

In addition to being a dual registrant, our firm is also licensed as an insurance agency with various states. Some of our Financial Advisors are licensed as insurance agents and, in such capacity, are able to offer various insurance products to Clients and effect the resulting insurance transactions for separate and customary commission compensation. Clients that determine to purchase insurance products offered by our Financial Advisors should note that such products will *not* be held in our Advisory accounts, and will *not* be part of the Advisory arrangement between Stifel and such Client. Our firm receives a portion of any commissions that the issuing insurance company pays with respect to insurance products sold by our Financial Advisors.

Non-Cash Compensation

Subject to the firm’s policies, Financial Advisors may receive non-cash compensation in the form of occasional gifts, meals, tickets, and/or other forms of entertainment from third parties, including mutual fund companies (or their agents or affiliates), Advisers, insurance vendors, and/or sponsors of products that we make available for purchase to our clients.

General Disclosure on Conflicts of Interest

As set forth above, the additional compensation associated with the Programs and/or investments described in the preceding section, to be paid to and retained by Stifel and/or one or more of our affiliates (and which may be shared with your Financial Advisor), may present a conflict between your interests on the one hand and those of the Financial Advisor on the other hand. This additional compensation provides an incentive to us, in exercising discretion or making recommendations for your account, to choose or recommend investments that result in higher compensation to our firm, your Financial Advisor, and/or affiliates of Stifel. For example, for certain Programs, your Financial Advisor will receive a portion of the Advisory Account Fee that we retain after paying, as applicable, the portion due to the Adviser. *As a result, our Financial Advisors may have an incentive to recommend Advisory Programs in which the fee is not shared with a third-party Adviser (e.g., Solutions) in order to receive a higher portion of the fee.* Additionally, for those Programs in which Stifel pays a portion of the Advisory Account Fee to Advisers, which tends to be less if we trade the Portfolio internally than if it is Manager-Traded, Financial Advisor may have an incentive to recommend MBT Portfolios in the applicable Programs over Manager-Traded Portfolios, or Portfolios where the related Product Fee is low, in order to retain a larger portion of the Advisory Account Fee. Finally, even where you are not charged a separate Stifel Fee in connection with an investment with respect to which Stifel has a compensation arrangement with the product sponsor, a Financial Advisor may still have an incentive to recommend the investment if the compensation received from the product sponsor is higher than the Stifel Fee that would otherwise have been charged in connection with the investment.

In these circumstances, it is our duty to determine that an investment made in your Account or recommended to you that results in such additional compensation is in your best interest based upon the information you have provided to us.

It is important to note that the services provided to you under the Programs described above may be obtained on an unbundled basis and may result in overall lower costs. You could use a commission-based brokerage account instead of a fee-based investment advisory account and/or independently retain a third-party adviser to manage your account. In certain cases, the total charges that you pay in Advisory fees may be higher than the commissions that could have been charged for brokerage-only services. There may also be cases where the Advisory Account Fee charged for Programs covered in this brochure may be higher than if you obtained the services covered by such fee separately (that is, if you paid separately for advisory services, portfolio management services, trade execution, custody, and related services). Even in cases where additional compensation (such as 12b-1 fees) is rebated back to you, there may be cases where your total return on the investment would have been better in a fund/share class that did not pay such rebated compensation. You should consider the value of the Advisory services provided or to be provided under each Program when evaluating fees or the appropriateness of the Advisory account in general. The combination of brokerage and Advisory services may not be available separately or may require multiple accounts and varying forms of payment. **You are responsible for determining whether a wrap fee program is appropriate for you. Therefore, you should understand the investment strategy you have selected, the types of investments to be made, and the amount of anticipated trading activity in assessing the overall cost of the Program.** Relative transaction infrequency could have a bearing on whether a wrap, asset-based fee account is more appropriate for you than a commission-based account.

PERFORMANCE-BASED FEES AND SIDE-BY-SIDE MANAGEMENT

We do not charge performance-based fees for our investment advisory services.

ACCOUNT REQUIREMENTS AND TYPES OF CLIENTS

The Advisory services offered in this brochure are generally available to a variety of Clients, including individuals, corporations, institutions, pension or profit sharing plans, employee benefit plans, trusts, estates, charitable organizations, other business and government entities, educational institutions, and banks or thrift institutions. However, please note that not all types of investors are eligible for each Program or each Portfolio within a Program.

Program Minimums

The following minimum account sizes are generally required to open an account in the available Programs outlined in this brochure. Specific minimums may vary, depending on the Portfolio selected by the Client. Exceptions to the stated minimums can be granted in Stifel's (and, to the extent applicable, the Adviser's) discretion.

- Score Program: Between \$25,000 and \$200,000
- Opportunity and IMC Programs: \$100,000
- Spectrum and Custom Advisory Portfolio Programs: \$50,000

- Solutions and Horizon Programs: \$25,000
- Fundamentals Program: Between \$5,000 and \$100,000
- Connect Program: \$100,000

PORTFOLIO MANAGER SELECTION AND EVALUATION

Connect Program

As set forth in the description above, as part of the Connect Program, a Financial Advisor may assist a Client in the selection of a Connect Adviser, based on the Client's goals and objectives and the Connect Adviser's investment philosophy and policies. The Financial Advisor will assist such Client in establishing the relationship with the Connect Adviser, including entering into a separate advisory agreement. Due to this separate and direct relationship with each Connect Adviser, Stifel performs limited ongoing reviews of the Connect Adviser's services to the Client's account, generally limited to periodic reviews of regulatory status, portfolio composition, and investment performance, among other factors. Clients are responsible for carefully reviewing all information provided by the Connect Adviser to determine whether to continue with the arrangement.

Advisers in All Other Programs (Other than Connect)

As further discussed in the section "*Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies, and Risk of Loss*" below, our staff conducts initial due diligence with respect to Advisers providing Portfolios made available in our other Programs, and with respect to investment companies (or family of companies) that are seeking to make their mutual funds and/or ETFs available on our platforms. We also conduct ongoing due diligence with respect to Advisers and fund managers whose products (Portfolios, mutual funds, or ETFs) are included on our separate Recommended Lists for Mutual Funds, separately managed accounts ("SMAs"), and/or ETFs.

Our Process for Selecting Independent and Affiliated Advisers

We generally select Independent and Affiliated Advisers and fund managers in order to provide our Clients with access to investment strategies in the major asset classes and investment styles and methodologies that can be used to pursue the Clients' investment goals.

When evaluating potential Portfolios or investment products for addition to our platform, we request and review information from the Adviser or fund manager relating to the business maturity and investment resources of the Adviser or fund manager, its ability to successfully implement the identified strategy, and the relevance of the strategy to Stifel clients.

For candidates for our Recommended Lists, we generally require the candidates to complete our proprietary Request for Proposal ("RFP"), in which they provide information about their firm, its history and personnel, Portfolio (or fund) performance, investment philosophy, and other relevant data. We may also use an Adviser's or fund manager's RFP form or that provided by a third party. We then consider and evaluate the information provided in order to determine whether an Adviser or fund

manager and its strategy should become part of our Recommended Lists. As part of the initial review for inclusion on a Recommended List, our personnel use a vendor database of research and statistics to review the annual performance and operations of the potential Adviser or fund manager, and may conduct in-person or telephone meetings with the Adviser and fund manager. We seek to identify and retain Advisers and fund managers with a strong record and history of managing assets, taking into account certain factors, including, but not limited to, the investment style and philosophy, account minimum, assets under management, number of investment professionals on staff, and number of years in business.

Our Affiliated Advisers may also serve as Investment Manager for a Program or Portfolio within a Program and, in such cases, may be responsible for trading, adjusting allocations, and rebalancing Client accounts invested in such Program or Portfolio, as appropriate, as well as implementing any applicable investment restrictions, and other portfolio management decisions. Subject to our fiduciary obligations, we generally select Affiliated Advisers to manage Programs and/or Portfolios where the Affiliated Adviser's investment style is in line with the asset class, investment style, and investment methodology that we are looking to fill for the identified Program. However, it is important for Clients to note that our due diligence processes for Affiliated Advisers is less rigorous than for Independent Advisers, and there may be times when we approve and/or continue to make available an Affiliated Adviser's Portfolio which would not have been approved, or would have been terminated, if offered by an Independent Adviser.

Our staff conduct ongoing due diligence of Independent and Affiliated Advisers and fund managers included on our Recommended Lists. These periodic due diligence and/or monitoring activities may include reviews of investment results and portfolio characteristics, or on-site and/or telephonic reviews with the investment and other personnel of certain Advisers. Advisers and fund companies generally report performance and other events on a quarterly basis using industry sources and databases and/or questionnaires, to which we have access and review regularly.

Replacing Independent and Affiliated Advisers

We may consider replacing Advisers if there are substantial changes in their investment style or if Portfolio characteristics are inconsistent with our expectation for the stated style, philosophy, and policies upon which they were hired. Additionally, we may consider replacing Advisers who have invested in prohibited securities, experienced material changes in their business structure, failed to abide by Client objectives and/or restrictions, failed to abide by the terms or conditions of the agreement or any amendments thereto, and/or have demonstrated unacceptable performance.

Independent and Affiliated Adviser Performance Information

We obtain Adviser performance information from a number of different sources. In addition, certain Advisers may provide performance information directly to Stifel, or directly to Clients. In such cases, the Adviser is responsible for reviewing the information provided. Stifel does not independently verify or

guarantee that an Adviser's performance information is accurate or complete. As a result, performance information presented to Clients may not be calculated in a uniform and consistent basis. For Client accounts, we typically provide performance reports to Clients on a quarterly basis. More detailed information regarding our performance reports, including calculation methodology, can be found below in the section titled "*Performance Information.*"

METHODS OF ANALYSIS, INVESTMENT STRATEGIES, AND RISK OF LOSS

Methods of Analysis and Investment Strategies

As discussed above, Stifel's TPWG is responsible for the analysis, selection, and onboarding of the mutual funds, ETFs, and Advisers (including their specific Portfolios) to be made available on our platform. The TPRG is represented on the TPWG and provides due diligence, as requested, in support of such onboarding considerations. In selecting mutual funds and/or ETFs to be made available for purchase broadly, the TPWG considers many factors, including, but not limited to, a fund's investment objectives and style, long-term performance records, volatility and risk levels, tracking error, and annual expense ratios (i.e., costs). From time to time, select mutual funds, ETFs and/or Portfolios from the broad universe of those that are approved are selected by TPRG for the firm's Mutual Fund Recommended List, ETF Recommended List, or SMA Recommended List, as applicable. The Recommended Lists contain products that, in the opinion of our due diligence staff, are among the strongest offerings of their product type available at Stifel.

TPRG periodically reviews the securities held in the applicable Fundamentals (and Spectrum) Portfolios, and, as applicable, may adjust such Portfolios when a current holding is downgraded or no longer included on the applicable Recommended List. Additional information about factors considered in selecting Advisers, Portfolios, or other investments included on the Stifel Recommended Lists is provided under the section "*Portfolio Management Selection and Evaluation*" above.

In constructing and monitoring the Tactical ETF Portfolios, Investment Strategy selects from ETFs that have been approved by the TPWG to follow the Tactical Asset Allocations developed by the Stifel Investment Strategy Group. The Tactical Asset Allocations are derived from a long-term view of the investment universe coupled with tactical tilting based on market outlook over the medium term. Investment Strategy selects the ETFs to follow each asset/sub-asset class in the asset allocation and selects these ETFs using various qualitative measures, including, but not limited to, tracking error, liquidity, trading volume, and fees. Investment Strategy reviews the selected ETFs on an ongoing basis, and, as applicable, adjusts the Portfolios.

In cases where Financial Advisors are directing and/or recommending specific securities or investments, they generally use information obtained from various sources, including

financial publications, inspections of corporate activities, company press releases, research material prepared by affiliates and/or third parties, rating or timing services, regulatory and self-regulatory reports, and other public sources. In addition, Financial Advisors may also use research provided by our research department, our internal product specialists, and/or from other sources relating to a broad range of research and information about the economy, industries, groups of securities, and individual companies, statistical information, market data, accounting and tax law interpretations, political developments, pricing and appraisal services, credit analysis, risk measurement analysis, performance analysis, and other information that may affect the economy or securities prices. The research used may be in the form of written reports, telephone contacts, and personal meetings with research analysts, economists, government representatives, and corporate and industry spokespersons. Additional information about the various research sources that our Financial Advisors may use in connection with Advisory accounts is provided below under the section “**Brokerage Practices – Research and Other benefits.**” Financial Advisors use any and/or a combination of fundamental, technical, quantitative, and statistical tools and valuation methodologies. The use of these different methodologies may result in technical or quantitative research recommendations that may differ from, or be inconsistent with, fundamental opinions for the same security.

Important issues and valuation measures that Financial Advisors may consider when selecting specific equity securities for Advisory accounts may include, but are not limited to, dividend return, ratio of growth rate to price/earnings multiple, ratio of market price to book value, market capitalization to revenue ratio, relative strength, management capability and reputation, corporate restructuring trends, asset value versus market value, and other fundamental and technical analysis. With respect to fixed income securities, Financial Advisors assist the Client to determine, or recommend to the Client, the appropriate type of security (government, corporate, or municipal), the appropriate maturity and diversification, and the appropriate parameters that will apply to the fixed income securities to be purchased for a Client account.

In general, our Advisory services with respect to the Programs offered in this brochure typically combine asset allocation and periodic rebalancing with the aim of growing and/or preserving principal. Our Financial Advisors generally assist Clients in designing portfolios with a long-term perspective, and periodically rebalance (or recommend rebalancing) the portfolios, as they deem appropriate, to manage risk.

Additional information on the investment strategies and methods of analysis used in connection with each Portfolio is available upon request to your Financial Advisor.

Risk of Loss

You should understand that all investment strategies and the investments made when implementing those investment strategies involve risk of loss, and you should be prepared to bear the loss of assets invested. The investment performance and the success of any investment strategy or particular investment can never be predicted or guaranteed, and the value

of your investments will fluctuate due to market conditions and other factors.

Our Financial Advisors may recommend a wide array of investments, and as discussed above, each Program and/or Portfolio covers a wide range of securities. As such, the specific type(s) of risks that each Client is exposed to will vary depending on the particular Program and/or the Portfolio in which the Client is enrolled, as well as the investments held in the Client’s Advisory account. We do not offer any guarantees that any investment recommendations made with respect to our Programs will be profitable. Moreover, Clients should note that past performance is not a guarantee of future results.

Material Risks

For the Portfolios listed or referenced above, equities, ETFs, mutual funds, options, and fixed income securities are the primary investments. Clients should always read the prospectus and other offering documents (or, in the case of an Adviser Portfolio, the Adviser’s Form ADV Part 2A) for a full description of risks associated with particular investments. Clients are urged to consider all of the risks associated with the types of transactions and securities involved in the Portfolio in which they are contemplating an investment as well as the potential impact that engaging in any of the below transactions may have on the account’s overall performance.

The following material risks may also be applicable to Advisory accounts invested in the Programs covered in this brochure:

Management Style Risks: As set forth above, a number of our Programs, including (but not limited to) Score, Opportunity, Spectrum, Custom Advisory Portfolio, IMC, and Connect, are, or may be, managed or advised by Independent or Affiliated Advisers. In general, we consider an Adviser’s performance track record, among other things, during the selection process. However, an Adviser’s past performance is not a guarantee of its future results; as such, its investment strategies may fail to produce the intended results.

Model-Based Trading Risks: As set forth above, our firm is responsible for trading certain Portfolios provided to us by Independent or Affiliated Advisers, in the applicable Programs in this brochure. When such Portfolios are provided by the Adviser, we attempt to match the holdings, and to enter trades within the timeline and/or in the lots as may be directed by the Adviser; however, there may be times when we are unable to execute trades in the allocations or at the prices deemed ideal by the Adviser. There may also be times when we are entirely unable to implement a recommendation due to restrictions applicable to us in our capacity as a broker-dealer. For example, we may not be able to purchase a security recommended by an Adviser because the security is the subject of a research report by one of our firm’s research analysts, or because our firm is involved in investment banking activities with the issuer of the security or is otherwise affiliated with the issuer. In such cases, if the Adviser is unable or unwilling to provide a substitute, we may determine a substitute, which may include re-allocating among existing positions, holding cash, or other alternatives that we deem reasonable. Differences in the Portfolio as implemented at Stifel and the Adviser’s recommendations

generally will result in differences in how our Client accounts perform relative to the Adviser's model Portfolio (which differences may at times be material). There may also be times when we receive trade instructions from more than one Adviser for the same security during the same day. Because our firm's policy is to execute trades as promptly as possible after receipt from an Adviser, and, to the extent possible, in the order received, we will not always be in a position to aggregate trades from multiple Advisers into a single block, which may get better execution. As a result, different Client accounts in MBT Portfolios, Score Portfolios, and/or other Programs may receive different intra-day prices even where such accounts have traded in the same security for the day. There may also be times when we are obligated to purchase a security for an MBT Portfolio on the same day that we are selling the security for another MBT Portfolio or other Program. Finally, Advisers that provide Portfolios to us for implementation generally also provide the same Portfolios to multiple other sponsor firms, or manage the client accounts of other sponsor firms enrolled in such Portfolios directly. Therefore, when Advisers communicate changes to such Portfolios to our firm, such changes are also disseminated to multiple sponsor firms, each of whom will likely attempt to implement the changes as soon as they are received, which will generally result in increased demand for the specific securities covered by such Portfolios, which generally will increase the price at which each such security may be bought (or decrease the sale price, as the case may be). Clients should note that this may, in turn, adversely affect the performance of their accounts. Based on all of the foregoing, Clients investing in Portfolios that we trade based on Adviser recommendations should understand that the performance returns achieved by their accounts may differ (at times significantly) from the performance of the Portfolio as reported by its Adviser.

Investment Company Securities Risks: A number of Portfolios covered in this brochure are heavily invested in mutual funds. In addition, Advisory accounts may invest in other investment companies, including ETFs, UITs, and/or closed-end funds. Each fund in a Portfolio may be subject to a variety of risks, depending on its investment strategies and/or the securities held. For example, mutual funds that primarily hold a portfolio of small capitalization companies will be subject to small capitalization risks, which may include increased volatility and decreased liquidity (relative to large capitalization companies). Each of these investments is subject to internal fees, which affect its net asset value and reduce the return that a Client will realize with respect to the investment.

Exchange Traded Product Risks: Exchange Traded Products (ETPs) are types of securities that derive their value from a basket of securities such as stocks, bonds, commodities, or indices, and trade intra-day on a national securities exchange. Generally, ETPs take the form of ETFs or Exchange Traded Notes (ETNs). ETFs are discussed above under Investment Company Securities; ETNs are senior unsecured debt obligations of an issuer, typically a bank or another financial institution; however, ETNs are not categorized as typical fixed income products.

A number of Portfolios may invest in non-traditional ETPs. Non-traditional ETPs employ sophisticated financial strategies

and instruments, such as leverage, futures, and derivatives, in pursuit of their investment objectives. Leveraged and inverse ETPs are considered risky. The use of leverage and inverse strategies by a fund increases the risk to the fund and magnifies gains or losses on the investment. You could incur significant losses even if the long-term performance of the underlying index showed a gain. Typically, these products have one-day investment objectives, and investors should monitor such funds on a daily basis. Non-traditional ETPs are generally categorized as leveraged, inverse, or leveraged-inverse:

- **Leveraged** – Uses financial derivatives and debt to multiply the returns of an underlying index, commodity, currency, or basket of assets. Leveraged ETPs may include the terms “double,” “ultra,” “triple,” or similar language in their security name/description.
- **Inverse** – Uses various derivatives to seek to profit from the decline in the value of an underlying index, commodity, currency, or basket of assets; used typically to hedge exposure to downward markets. Inverse ETPs may include the term “contra,” “short,” or similar language in their security name/description.
- **Leveraged-Inverse** – Uses swaps, futures contracts, options, and other derivative instruments to seek to achieve a return that is a multiple of the opposite performance of the underlying benchmark or index. Leveraged-inverse ETPs may include a combination of leveraged and inverse terms, such as “ultra short,” in their security name/description.

Non-traditional ETPs are complex products that have the potential for significant loss of principal and are not appropriate for all investors. Investors should consider their financial ability to afford the potential for a significant loss. These products seek investment results for a single day only. The effect of compounding and market volatility could have a significant impact upon the investment returns. Investors may lose a significant amount of principal rapidly in these securities. Non-traditional ETPs may be volatile under certain market conditions. Investors holding non-traditional ETPs over longer periods of time should monitor those positions closely due to the risk of volatility. Non-traditional ETPs are focused on daily investment returns, and their performance over longer periods of time can differ significantly from their stated daily objective. Investors may incur a significant loss even if the index shows a gain over the long term. Non-traditional ETPs use a variety of derivative products in order to seek their performance objectives. The use of leverage in ETPs can magnify any price movements, resulting in high volatility and potentially significant loss of principal. Non-traditional ETPs may suffer losses even though the benchmark currency, commodity, or index has increased in value. Investment returns of non-traditional ETPs may not correlate to price movements in the benchmark currency, commodity, or index the ETP seeks to track. Some non-traditional ETPs may have a low trading volume, which could impact an investor's ability to sell shares quickly. Non-traditional ETPs may be less tax efficient than other ETPs. As with any potential investment, an investor should consult with his or her tax advisor and carefully read the prospectus to understand the tax consequences of non-traditional ETPs.

Fixed Income Securities Risks: A number of Portfolios and/or Financial Advisors may invest in a variety of fixed income securities. Fixed income securities are subject to credit risk, interest rate risk, and liquidity risk. Credit risk is the risk the issuer or guarantor of a debt security will be unable or unwilling to make timely payments of interest or principal or to otherwise honor its obligations. Interest rate risk is the risk of losses due to changes in interest rates. In general, the prices of debt securities rise when interest rates fall, and the prices fall when interest rates rise. Duration measures the change in the price of a fixed income security based on the increase or decrease in overall interest rates. Bonds with higher duration carry more risks and have higher price volatility than bonds with lower duration. Therefore, if interest rates are very low at the time of purchase of the bonds, when interest rates eventually do rise, the price of such lower interest rate bonds will decrease, and anyone needing to sell such bonds at that time, rather than holding them to maturity, could realize a loss. High-yield debt securities (junk bonds) generally are more sensitive to interest rates. Such securities are also highly subject to liquidity risk. Liquidity risk is the risk that a particular security may be difficult to purchase or sell and that an investor may be unable to sell illiquid securities at an advantageous time or price. There are also special tax considerations associated with investing in high-yield securities structured as zero coupon or pay-in-kind securities. Municipal bonds are also subject to state-specific risks, such as changes in the issuing state's credit rating, as well as the risk that legislative changes may affect the tax status of such bonds. Municipal bonds may also have a call feature, entitling the issuer to redeem the bond prior to maturity. A callable security's duration, or sensitivity to interest rate changes, decreases when rates fall and increases when rates rise because issuers are likely to call the bond only if the rates are low. Investors in callable bonds are therefore subject to reinvestment risk – that is, the risk that they will need to reinvest their proceeds at lower rates. Investments in government-sponsored entity securities also exhibit these risks, although the degree of such risks may vary significantly among the different government-sponsored entity securities. Some securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies or instrumentalities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. and may only be supported by the right of the agency or instrumentality to borrow from the U.S. Treasury.

Derivatives Risks: A number of Portfolios covered in this brochure may engage in derivative transactions, including, but not limited to, hedge funds, options, and managed futures products, for any purpose consistent with the Portfolio and/or the Client's investment objective. Generally, a derivative is a financial arrangement, the value of which is derived from, or based on, a traditional security, asset, or market index. Such transactions may be used for several reasons, including hedging unrealized gains. Hedging strategies, if successful, can reduce the risk of loss by offsetting the negative effect of unfavorable price movements in the investments being hedged. However, hedging strategies can also reduce the opportunity for gain by offsetting the positive effect of favorable price movements in the hedged investments. A Portfolio may also use derivative instruments to obtain market exposure (that is, for speculative purposes rather than hedging). A Portfolio may establish a position in the derivatives market as a substitute for buying,

selling, or holding certain securities. The use of derivative transactions is a highly specialized activity that involves investment techniques and risks that may be more heightened than those associated with ordinary portfolio securities transactions. Portfolios or other accounts in various Programs may also engage in short selling. A short sale involves the sale of a security that is borrowed. Short sales expose a Client's account to the risk that it will be required to acquire, convert, or exchange securities to replace the borrowed securities (also known as "covering" the short position) at a time when the securities sold short have appreciated in value, thus resulting in a loss. An account's investment performance may also suffer if required to close out a short position earlier than initially anticipated. In addition, an account may be subject to expenses related to short sales that are not typically associated with investing in securities directly, such as margin account maintenance costs. Each Client is urged to carefully consider the impact that engaging in any of these transactions will have on the account's overall performance.

Alternative Investments Risks: A number of Portfolios and/or Financial Advisors may invest in a variety of alternative investments. Alternative investments, including (but not limited to) private investment funds (such as hedge funds or private equity funds), alternative mutual funds, non-traditional ETFs, managed futures products, and/or real estate (related) investments may also present unique risks, such as decreased liquidity and transparency and increased complexity. Alternative investments typically use derivative instruments (such as options, futures, or index-based instruments) and/or leveraging strategies. The use of derivative instruments involves multiple risks, as discussed in more detail above. In addition, to the extent that the alternative investment uses commodities (or commodity-based derivatives) as part of its investment strategy, the investment return may also vary as a result of fluctuations in the supply and demand of the underlying commodities. Real estate-related investments will be subject to risks generally related to real estate, including risks specific to geographic areas in which the underlying investments were made. Certain alternative investments may be less tax efficient than others. Each alternative investment is typically subject to internal fees (including, but not limited to, management and/or performance fees), which affect the product's net asset value and reduce the return that a Client will realize with respect to the investment.

Additional risks may include style-specific risk, speculative investment risk, concentration risk, correlation risk, credit risk and lower-quality debt securities risk, equity securities risk, financial services companies' risk, interest rate risk, non-diversification risk, small- and mid-cap company risk, and special risks of mutual funds and/or ETFs, among others.

Tax-Exempt Securities Risks: Certain Portfolios may seek to invest in tax-exempt securities, including (but not limited to) municipal bonds as well as tax-exempt mutual funds and ETFs. In order to attempt to pay interest that is exempt from federal or state and local income tax, tax-exempt securities must meet certain legal requirements. Failure to meet such requirements may cause the interest received and distributed to shareholders to be taxable. In addition, income from one or more municipal bonds held in the Portfolio could be declared taxable because of

unfavorable changes in tax or other laws, adverse interpretations by the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”), state, or other tax authorities, or noncompliant conduct of a bond issuer. Changes or proposed changes in federal or state income tax or other laws may also cause the prices of tax-exempt securities to fall. Finally, income from certain municipal bonds may be subject to the alternative minimum tax (“AMT”) and/or state and local taxes, based on the investor’s state of residence. In addition, as discussed in more detail under the section “*Cash Sweep Options*” below, idle cash in Advisory accounts held at Stifel (including accounts invested in “tax-exempt” Portfolios) is typically swept into one of our insured bank cash sweep programs. Any interest earned by the Client in respect of such cash balances will not be exempt from taxes.

Foreign Securities Risks: Advisory accounts may invest in foreign securities, directly or through funds that hold a portfolio of foreign securities. Foreign securities can be more volatile than domestic (U.S.) securities. Securities markets of other countries are generally smaller than U.S. securities markets. Many foreign securities may also be less liquid than U.S. securities, and are typically subject to currency risk. Some foreign securities also may be subject to taxes and other charges imposed by the issuer’s country of residence or citizenship. Certain foreign securities may be subject to additional costs and risks. As set forth elsewhere in this brochure and/or in the Advisory Agreement, such taxes and charges are in addition to (i.e., are not included in) a Client’s account fees. All these factors could affect a client’s realized return on the investment.

Frequent Trading and High Portfolio Turnover Rate Risk: The turnover rate within certain discretionary Advisory accounts may be significant. In connection with Portfolios run by Investment Managers that engage in trades away from Stifel, frequent trades may result in high transactions costs, including substantial brokerage commissions, fees, and other transaction costs. In addition, frequent trading (whether or not through trades away from Stifel) is likely to result in short-term capital gains tax treatment. As a result, high turnover and frequent trading in an Advisory account could have an adverse effect on the cost and therefore the return on the Advisory account.

Infrequent Trading/Low Portfolio Turnover Rate Risk: Certain Portfolios (such as fixed income Portfolios) and/or accounts in the Programs covered in this brochure may trade infrequently and experience low (in some cases extremely low) turnover. As set forth elsewhere in this brochure, wrap fees charged are intended to cover various services, including trade execution. We generally assume regular trading when setting the levels of wrap fees that may be charged with respect to the Programs covered in this brochure. If a specific Client experiences low turnover in the Client’s wrap account, the Client may not realize the full benefit of the wrap fee paid with respect to such wrap account. Clients are encouraged to discuss the expected and/or historical level of trading with their Financial Advisor when evaluating the cost of a proposed or existing wrap account.

Diversification Risk: Certain Strategies or Portfolios within Stifel’s Advisory Programs may have concentration in specific asset classes, sectors, or individual securities, which could result

in increased exposure to the risks that can be attributed to those specific investments. Additionally, certain Portfolios may invest in a specific investment style. As a result, clients in these Portfolios may not have access to as wide a variety of management styles as clients in other portfolios. Clients may be invested in Portfolios that are primarily implemented using mutual funds and other funds that are managed by their affiliated companies, which may cause clients invested in these portfolios to only have access to the management style of the specific funds used to implement the Portfolio or Strategy.

Dependence on Key Personnel: Some of the Portfolios covered in this brochure may rely heavily on certain key personnel of our firm, our affiliates, and/or the personnel of certain Advisers available on our platform. The departure of any such key personnel or their inability to fulfill their duties may adversely affect the ability of an applicable Portfolio to effectively implement its investment program and, as a result, adversely impact the performance of the Advisory accounts enrolled in such Portfolio.

Issuer Concentration Risk: From time to time, a Financial Advisor (or a Portfolio) may take a significant position in a particular issuer; for example, a particular Financial Advisor’s Clients may, in the aggregate, own more than 5% of an issuer’s outstanding stock. Even where such position is spread among a number of Client accounts, the affected Clients will be more exposed to the issuer’s specific risk than where our firm’s aggregate position in the issuer is insignificant and/or immaterial. Such large positions may also affect the liquidity of the investment because we may not be able to completely liquidate within the timeline or at a desired price if we own more than the typical daily trading volume. We are required by applicable regulations to disclose ownership of more than 5% of the total outstanding shares of certain equity securities held in our discretionary accounts. There are no similar disclosure requirements to the extent the positions are held in non-discretionary Client accounts. Clients are therefore encouraged to discuss these risks with their Financial Advisor when considering the Financial Advisor’s investment recommendations.

CLIENT INFORMATION PROVIDED TO PORTFOLIO MANAGERS

We typically provide information about a Client’s financial condition, investment needs, and/or investment restrictions to Advisers serving as Investment Managers on Client accounts. We may also provide annual updates (if any) to the information, or more often as available from the Client. We and/or the Financial Advisor (not the Investment Manager) are responsible for collecting data about Client investment goals and objectives and determining whether a particular Program and/or Portfolio is appropriate for the Client based on the stated goals and objectives.

We generally do not provide particularized Client information to Advisers providing model Portfolios to our firm under MBT

arrangements. In MBT arrangements, our firm (not the Adviser) is responsible for the various aspects of the client relationship.

CLIENT CONTACT WITH PORTFOLIO MANAGERS

We strongly encourage Clients to communicate with their Financial Advisor, rather than the Adviser of the Portfolio in which the Client is invested. However, Financial Advisors generally review with the Client the available Portfolios, as well as other information relating to the Adviser for such Portfolio, and typically obtain Client consent prior to enrolling a Client in a Program or Portfolio. The information provided to each Client may include, where applicable, an Investment Manager's Form ADV Part 2A, which includes its name and contact information. In such cases, therefore, Clients have the option of contacting an Investment Manager directly. However, the foregoing does not apply to MBT Portfolios, because the information provided to Clients with respect to an MBT Portfolio generally may not include the Adviser's Form ADV. Clients in the Connect Program are direct clients of such Connect Adviser and, therefore, are encouraged to have direct contact with their Investment Manager.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

DISCIPLINARY INFORMATION

1. On January 26, 2018, Stifel entered into a Letter of Acceptance, Waiver, and Consent ("AWC") with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm (i) traded ahead of certain customer orders at prices that would have satisfied the customer orders; (ii) did not maintain adequate supervisory controls that were reasonably designed to achieve compliance with FINRA Rule 5320 and Supplementary Material .02 of FINRA Rule 5320; and failed to report an information barrier identifier with its order audit trail system (OATS) submission for certain orders. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rules 2010, 3110, 7440(b)(19), and NASD Rule 3010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure, monetary fine of \$37,500, plus interest of \$318.25, restitution payments to affected investors, and an undertaking to revise its written supervisory procedures relating to Rule 5320 and Supplementary Material .02 of FINRA to settle these allegations.
2. On January 26, 2018, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to report to the Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine ("TRACE") transactions in TRACE-eligible securitized products within the time required by FINRA Rule 6730. While not admitting or denying these allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$17,500.
3. In June 2017, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that Stifel did not provide timely disclosures to a municipal issuer in connection with its role as placement agent in a placement of bonds issued by the

municipal issuer in accordance with interpretive guidance issued by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB") regarding MSRB Rule G-23. In May 2012, Stifel recommended that the issuer do a placement, in lieu of a public offering, in order to save on debt service costs. The issuer accepted Stifel's recommendation and agreed that Stifel would serve as placement agent. However, Stifel did not provide the disclosures regarding its role in a timely manner. As a result, the firm was alleged to have violated MSRB Rule G-23 by serving as both financial advisor and placement agent on the same issue. While not admitting or denying the allegations, Stifel agreed to a regulatory censure and a monetary fine of \$125,000.

4. In March 2017, Stifel consented to the entry of a Cease and Desist Order ("Order") by the SEC in which Stifel was found to have violated Section 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-7 thereunder by failing to adopt or implement adequate policies and procedures to track and disclose the trading away practices of certain Investment Managers in several of Stifel's discretionary wrap fee programs, including information about additional costs incurred by clients as a result of the Investment Manager's use of another broker to execute transactions away from Stifel. Stifel neither admitted nor denied the findings contained in the Order, except those related to jurisdiction and the subject matter of the proceeding. Stifel made several undertakings enumerated in the Order related to the trading away practices of third-party managers, including a review and update of its policies and procedures, providing information to financial advisors and clients, and training financial advisors. Stifel was ordered to pay a civil penalty of \$300,000 and ordered to cease and desist from violating Section 206(4) and Rule 206(4)-7 thereunder.
5. On January 4, 2017, an Administrative Consent Order ("Order") was entered against Stifel and a former registered representative associated with Stifel by the Securities Division of the Mississippi Secretary of State ("Division") resolving an investigation into certain activities occurring in two branch offices during the period of September 2000 through November 2013. Without admitting or denying the findings in the Order, Stifel agreed to the entry of the Order directing Stifel to cease and desist from violating Rule 5.15 of the Mississippi Securities Act of 2010, a books and records rule, and to pay the Division \$49,500 on its behalf as well as \$500 on behalf of the former registered representative.
6. On December 6, 2016, a final judgment ("Judgment") was entered against Stifel by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-00755) resolving a civil lawsuit filed by the SEC in 2011 involving violations of several antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws in connection with the sale of synthetic collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") to five Wisconsin school districts in 2006. As a result of the Order, Stifel is required to cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of Section 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act, and Stifel and a former employee are jointly liable to pay disgorgement and

prejudgment interest of \$2.5 million. Stifel was also required to pay a civil penalty of \$22 million. The Judgment also required Stifel to distribute \$12.5 million of the ordered disgorgement and civil penalty to the school districts involved in this matter.

7. On April 8, 2016, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm used permissible customer-owned securities as collateral for bank loans procured by the firm. However, on several occasions over a period of years, prior to performing its customer reserve calculation, Stifel substituted those loans with loans secured with firm-owned collateral. The substitution thereby reduced the amount that Stifel was required to deposit into the Customer Reserve Account. FINRA found the practice to be a violation of applicable rules, including Section 15I of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 15c3-3(e)(2) thereunder. Throughout the relevant period, the firm had sufficient resources to fund the Customer Reserve Account even if the substitutions had not occurred. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and fine of \$750,000.
8. On March 24, 2016, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm executed transactions in a municipal security in an amount that was below the minimum denomination of the issue. The conduct described was deemed to constitute a violation of applicable rules. While not admitting or denying these allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$25,000.
9. On March 3, 2016, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm, among other things, (i) traded ahead of certain customer orders, (ii) failed to mark proprietary orders with required notations, (iii) failed to yield priority, parity, and/or precedence in connection with customer trades submitted with proprietary orders, (iv) failed to disclose required information in writing to affected customers, and (v) failed to reasonably supervise and implement adequate controls in connection with these trades. These allegations were considered to be violations of New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) Rules 90, 92, 410(b), and 2010 as well as Section 11(a) of the Exchange Act. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and fine of \$275,000.
10. On January 5, 2016, Stifel, along with one of its employees, entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that Stifel and the employee (i) failed to adequately supervise the written communication of a registered institutional salesperson who circulated communications about companies that were subject to Stifel research and (ii) failed to implement a supervisory system designed to supervise the distribution, approval, and maintenance of research reports and institutional sales material. These allegations were considered violations of various NASD Rules (including, but not limited to Rule 2711(a)(9), 2210(d)(1), and 3010). While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and fine of \$200,000.
11. On October 27, 2015, Stifel was one of many firms to enter into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm (i) disadvantaged certain customers that were eligible to purchase Class A shares in certain mutual funds without a front-end sales charge, but were instead sold Class A shares with a front-end sales charge or Class B or C shares with back-end sales charges and higher ongoing fees and (ii) failed to establish and maintain a supervisory system and procedures to ensure that eligible customers who purchased mutual fund shares received the benefit of applicable sales charge waivers. These allegations were considered to be violations of NASD Rule 3010 and FINRA Rules 3110 and 2010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and to pay \$2.9 million in restitution to the eligible customers.
12. On June 18, 2015, Stifel, together with 39 other financial services firms, consented to the entry of a Cease and Desist Order by the SEC following voluntary participation in the SEC’s Municipalities Continuing Disclosure Cooperative Initiative (“MCDC”). The SEC alleged that each participating firm generally violated federal securities laws and regulations (including certain anti-fraud provisions thereof) in connection with municipal securities offerings in which the firm (i) acted as either senior or sole underwriter and in which the offering documents contained false or misleading statements by the issuer about the issuer’s prior compliance with certain federal securities laws or regulations, (ii) failed to conduct adequate due diligence about the issuer in connection with such offerings, and (iii) as a result, failed to form a reasonable basis for believing the truthfulness of the statements made by the issuers in the offerings, in each case as required by applicable securities laws and regulations. While not admitting or denying the allegations, Stifel consented to a fine of \$500,000 and to retain a consultant to conduct a review of its policies and procedures relating to municipal securities underwriting due diligence.
13. On June 10, 2015, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that (i) the firm failed to report the correct symbol indicating whether a transaction was buy, sell, or cross and inaccurately appended a price override modifier to 50,076 last sale reports of transactions that were reported to the FINRA/NASDAQ Trade Reporting Facility and (ii) the firm’s supervisory system did not provide for supervision reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable securities laws and regulations as well as FINRA rules concerning trade reporting. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rule 7230A(d)(6), FINRA Rule 2010, and NASD Rule 3110. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to censure and a fine of \$40,000.
14. On June 8, 2015, Stifel entered into a settlement agreement with the Chicago Board of Options Exchange, Incorporated to settle allegations that the firm failed to register individuals, by the required deadline, who were otherwise required to register as proprietary trader principals. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to censure and a fine of \$35,000.

15. On March 4, 2015, Stifel entered into an AWC with The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC to settle allegations that the firm failed to immediately display certain customer limit orders in NASDAQ securities in the firm's public quotation, when (i) the order price was equal to or would have improved the firm's bid or offer and/or the national best bid or offer for such security and (ii) the size of the order represented more than a de minimis change in relation to the size associated with the firm's bid or offer in each such security. In addition, The NASDAQ also alleged that the firm's supervisory system did not provide for supervision reasonably designed to achieve compliance with respect to the applicable securities laws and regulations and the Rules of NASDAQ concerning limit order display. These allegations were considered to be violations of Rule 604 of Regulation NMS and NASDAQ Rules 3010 and 2010A. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and a fine of \$15,000.
16. On December 23, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm (i) failed to execute orders fully and promptly and (ii) failed to use reasonable diligence to ascertain the best inter-dealer market and to buy or sell in such market so that the resultant price to its customers was as favorable as possible under prevailing market conditions. In addition, FINRA also alleged that the firm's supervisory system did not provide for supervision reasonably designed to achieve compliance with respect to certain applicable securities laws and regulations and/or the Rules of FINRA. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rules 5320 and 2010 and NASD Rules 2320 and 3010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$55,000.
17. On November 3, 2014, the SEC issued a Cease-and-Desist Order and entered into a settlement agreement with Stifel to settle allegations that Stifel executed a transaction in Puerto Rico bonds with a customer in the amount below the \$100,000 minimum denomination of the issue. The conduct described was deemed to constitute a violation of MSRB Rule G-15(f). While not admitting or denying these allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$60,000.
18. On October 21, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm (i) failed to report to the FINRA/NASDAQ Trade Reporting Facility the capacity in which the firm executed certain transactions in Reportable Securities, (ii) failed to disclose to its customers the correct reported trade price in certain transactions and its correct capacity in each transaction, (iii) incorrectly included an average price disclosure in certain transactions, (iv) inaccurately disclosed the commission or commission equivalent in certain transactions, and (v) accepted a short sale in an equity security for its own account without: (1) borrowing the security, or entering into a bona-fide arrangement to borrow the security; or (2) having reasonable grounds to believe that the security could be borrowed so that it could be delivered on the date delivery is due; and (3) documenting compliance with Rule 203(b)(1) of Regulation SHO. In addition, FINRA also alleged that the firm's supervisory system did not provide for supervision reasonably designed to achieve compliance with respect to the above-noted issues. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rule 7230A, SEC Rule 10b-10, Rule 203(b)(1) of Regulation SHO, SEC Rule 605 of Regulation NMS, NASD Rule 3010, and FINRA Rule 2010, respectively. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$32,500.
19. On September 25, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC to settle allegations that the firm failed to immediately display certain customer limit orders in NASDAQ securities in the firm's public quotation, when (i) the order price was equal to or would have improved the firm's bid or offer and/or the national best bid or offer for such security and (ii) the size of the order represented more than a de minimis change in relation to the size associated with the firm's bid or offer in each such security. The conduct described was deemed to constitute a violation of Rule 604 of Regulation NMS. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and a fine of \$12,500.
20. On September 22, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations on two separate items. The first, that the firm failed to establish and implement an anti-money laundering ("AML") program reasonably designed to detect and cause the reporting of certain suspicious activity during a period when the firm executed for its customers unsolicited purchases and sales of at least 2.5 billion shares of low-priced securities ("penny stocks") which generated at least \$320 million in proceeds. As a result, the firm was deemed to have violated NASD Rule 3011(a) and FINRA Rule 3310(a). The second allegation was that the firm failed to establish, maintain, and enforce a supervisory system reasonably designed to ensure compliance with Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 and the applicable rules and regulations with respect to the distribution of unregistered and non-exempt securities. As a result, the firm was deemed to have violated NASD Rule 3010 and FINRA Rule 2010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and a fine of \$300,000.
21. On February 27, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to report TRACE transactions in TRACE-eligible debt securities for agency bond new issue offerings during the period May 10, 2011, through September 30, 2011. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to (i) a censure, (ii) a fine of \$22,500, and (iii) revise the firm's written supervisory procedures relating to supervision of compliance with FINRA Rule 6760.
22. On January 9, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that, among other things, (i) the firm allowed certain of its registered representatives to recommend nontraditional ETFs to customers without such representatives conducting adequate due diligence on the

recommended products, (ii) the firm did not provide adequate formal training to its representatives or their supervisors regarding nontraditional ETFs before permitting such persons to recommend and/or supervise the sale of nontraditional ETFs to customers, and (iii) the firm failed to establish and maintain a supervisory system of controls, including written procedures specifically tailored to address the unique features and risks associated with nontraditional ETFs, or one that was reasonably designed to ensure that the sale of such nontraditional ETFs complied with applicable securities laws and regulations. The firm consented to a regulatory censure, a fine of \$450,000, and restitution to the 59 affected customers in the amount of \$338,128.

23. On December 23, 2013, Stifel and one of its representatives entered into a Stipulation and Consent Agreement with the State of Florida Office of Financial Regulation to settle allegations that the Stifel representative engaged in investment advisory business within the State of Florida without due registration as an investment advisory representative. Stifel agreed to an administrative fine of \$15,000. For its part, the State of Florida approved the individual's investment advisory representative registration.

24. On December 20, 2013, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that, among other things, (i) the firm accepted and held customer market orders, (ii) traded for its own account at prices that would have satisfied the customer market orders, (iii) failed to immediately execute the customer market orders up to the size and at the same price at which it traded for its own account or at a better price, and (iv) failed to execute orders fully and promptly and, in addition, some of the instances resulted in prices to the customers that were not as favorable as possible under prevailing market conditions. The firm consented to a censure and fine of \$80,000.00 and to pay restitution of \$4,416.74 to the affected customers.

25. On September 27, 2013, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations relating to a Trading and Market-Making Surveillance Examination for trades dated in 2010 – specifically, that (i) the firm reported inaccurate information on customer confirmations relating to distinguishing compensation from handling fees, failing to include market-maker disclosure, and incorrectly including average price disclosure, (ii) the firm made available a report on the covered orders in national market system securities that included incorrect information regarding the size of orders, classification of orders in incorrect size buckets, (iii) the firm's written supervisory procedures failed to provide adequate written supervisory procedures relating to supervisory systems, procedures and qualifications, short sale transactions, backing away and multiple quotations, information barriers, and minimum quotation requirements, and (iv) the firm failed to provide documentary evidence that it performed the supervisory reviews set forth in its written supervisory procedures concerning order handling, anti-intimidation coordination, soft-dollar accounts and trading, Order Audit Trail System ("OATS") reporting, books and records, and monitoring electronic communications. These allegations were

considered to be violations of SEC Rule 10b-10, SEC Rule 605 of Regulation NMS, NASD Rule 3010, and FINRA Rule 2010, respectively. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a regulatory censure and a fine of \$20,000. The firm also agreed to revise its written supervisory procedures.

26. On August 6, 2013, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to properly indicate whether certain orders were buy, short sales, or long sales and, further, failed to indicate the correct capacity of certain orders into the NASDAQ/SingleBook System, in violation of NASDAQ Rules 4755 and 4611(a)(6), respectively. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a regulatory censure and an aggregate fine of \$10,000.

27. On August 6, 2013, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations relating to three separate reviews from 2008, 2009, and 2010 regarding fair pricing of fixed income securities – specifically, that (i) for certain of those periods, the firm failed to buy or sell corporate bonds at a fair price, (ii) the firm bought or sold municipal securities for its own account and/or sold municipal securities to a customer at an aggregate price that was not fair and reasonable, and (iii) the firm failed to use reasonable diligence to ascertain the best inter-dealer market price for certain identified transactions and/or to buy and sell in such market, such that the price to its customers was as favorable as possible under prevailing market conditions. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rule 2010, NASD Rules 2110, 2320, 2440, Interpretive Materials -2440-1 and -2440-2, and MSRB Rules G-17 and G-30(A). To settle each of these separate allegations, the firm agreed to be censured and fined \$92,500 in the aggregate, and to pay restitution to clients of \$53,485.96 (of which \$36,762.73 had already been paid by the firm, of its own accord, to the affected clients) plus interest.

28. Stifel entered into an AWC dated August 6, 2013, for violations of SEC, FINRA, and NASD rules. The allegations were the result of four separate reviews FINRA conducted during 2008 and 2009 involving OATS reporting, market order timeliness, and market making. Without admitting or denying the findings, the firm consented to the described sanctions and was censured and fined \$52,500 for the violations found during the four separate reviews. The firm also agreed to revise its written supervisory procedures and to pay restitution in the amount of \$1,791.33 to its customers.

29. On May 29, 2013, Stifel entered into a settlement agreement with the Chicago Board of Options Exchange, Incorporated to settle allegations that the firm failed to register individuals that were otherwise required to register as proprietary trader principals by the required deadline. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a regulatory censure and a fine of \$5,000.

30. On September 28, 2012, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to report TRACE 29451 transactions in TRACE-eligible debt securities within 15 minutes of the time of execution, in violation of FINRA Rule 6730(A) and Rule 2010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to pay a fine of \$5,000.
31. On March 26, 2012, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to adequately supervise a former Missouri agent who sold unregistered securities, and failed to detect or respond adequately to warning signs and/or evidence that should have alerted the firm to the agent's misconduct. Stifel neither admitted nor denied FINRA's findings. The firm consented to findings, a censure, and agreed to pay a regulatory fine of \$350,000 and restitution in an amount not to exceed \$250,000 plus interest to customers affected by the agent's misconduct (subject to various other procedural requirements).
32. On January 24, 2012, Stifel entered into a consent order with the Missouri Securities Division to settle allegations that the firm failed to supervise a former Missouri agent who sold unregistered securities, failed to disclose material facts, made material misstatements, and who engaged in an act, practice, or course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit. The Division further found that Stifel failed to make, maintain, and preserve records as required under the Securities and Exchange Act and Stifel's written supervisory procedures. Stifel neither admitted nor denied the Division's findings. The firm consented to findings, a censure, and agreed to pay \$531,385 in restitution and interest to investors, \$500,000 to the Missouri Secretary of State's Investor Education and Protection Fund, and \$70,000 as costs of the Division's investigation. In addition, Stifel is required to retain an outside consultant to review and report to Stifel concerning certain of the firm's policies and procedures. The report will be made available to the Division.
33. In 2009, 2010, and 2011, Stifel entered into consent agreements with a number of state regulatory authorities regarding the sale of securities commonly known as "Auction Rate Securities" (ARS). The state regulatory authorities claimed that Stifel failed to reasonably supervise the sales of ARS by failing to provide sufficient information and training to its registered representatives and sales and marketing staff regarding ARS and the mechanics of the auction process applicable to ARS. As part of some or all of the consent agreements, Stifel agreed to pay various levels of fines to the states, to accept the regulator's censure, to cease and desist from violating securities laws and regulations, to retain at Stifel's expense a consultant to review the firm's supervisory and compliance policies and procedures relating to product review of nonconventional investments, and/or repurchase certain auction rate securities from the firm's clients. The states with which Stifel entered into agreements of consent and the amounts of the fines paid to the respective states are:

STATE	DATE RESOLVED	FINE PAID
VIRGINIA	09/18/09	\$ 17,500.00
MISSOURI	01/22/10	\$250,000.00
NORTH DAKOTA	04/12/10	\$ 1,050.00
INDIANA	04/14/10	\$173,323.36
SOUTH DAKOTA	04/19/10	\$ 1,050.00
IOWA	04/19/10	\$ 2,172.71
VERMONT	04/22/10	\$ 1,116.04
WASHINGTON	04/26/10	\$ 1,512.29
KENTUCKY	04/27/10	\$ 7,984.40
MONTANA	05/04/10	\$ 1,050.00
CALIFORNIA	05/05/10	\$ 11,220.45
NEBRASKA	05/10/10	\$ 1,248.13
ALABAMA	05/13/10	\$ 1,050.00
MISSISSIPPI	05/18/10	\$ 2,833.13
LOUISIANA	05/25/10	\$ 1,116.04
UTAH	06/01/10	\$ 1,116.04
TENNESSEE	06/16/10	\$ 3,889.80
PUERTO RICO	06/23/10	\$ 1,050.00
WEST VIRGINIA	06/28/10	\$ 1,050.00
DELAWARE	07/08/10	\$ 1,182.08
OKLAHOMA	07/14/10	\$ 1,050.00
COLORADO	08/24/10	\$ 24,720.67
KANSAS	08/19/10	\$ 13,597.95
RHODE ISLAND	08/10/10	\$ 1,050.00
US VIRGIN ISLANDS	09/14/10	\$ 1,050.00
CONNECTICUT	09/23/10	\$ 8,512.73
MAINE	09/24/10	\$ 1,116.04
MICHIGAN	09/29/10	\$ 35,788.02
SOUTH CAROLINA	10/04/10	\$ 1,446.25
ARKANSAS	10/19/10	\$ 1,314.17
NEW JERSEY	10/29/10	\$ 15,381.10
ALASKA	10/27/10	\$ 1,446.25
WISCONSIN	12/08/10	\$ 18,286.93
OREGON	02/17/11	\$ 2,502.92
MINNESOTA	01/31/11	\$ 5,805.01
NEVADA	02/03/11	\$ 17,164.21
OHIO	04/14/11	\$ 15,645.25
MARYLAND	05/13/11	\$ 16,663.56
FLORIDA	04/23/12	\$ 29,617.71
GEORGIA	05/01/12	\$ 2,040.63
PENNSYLVANIA	08/10/12	\$ 9,450.00
ILLINOIS	08/29/12	\$ 32,619.00

34. On October 27, 2010, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA resolving FINRA's claim that Stifel failed to buy or sell corporate bonds at a price that was fair, taking into account all relevant circumstances; that the firm transmitted reportable order events to OATS that were rejected by OATS for repairable context or syntax errors that went

uncorrected by the firm; that the firm incorrectly reported principal trade transactions as “agent” or “riskless principal” trades; and that the firm failed to report correctly the first leg of riskless principal transactions as “principal.” While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a regulatory censure and to pay a \$32,500 fine.

35. On September 23, 2010, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA resolving FINRA’s claim that, prior to its acquisition by Stifel, Ryan Beck & Co., Inc. had failed to establish an effective supervisory system and written supervisory procedures reasonably designed to ensure that discounts were correctly applied on eligible UIT purchases. FINRA alleged that Ryan Beck failed to identify and appropriately apply sales charge discounts and misstated to certain clients that discounts and breakpoint advantages had been properly applied. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to an undertaking by which the firm would submit to FINRA a proposed plan of how it will identify and compensate customers who qualified for, but did not receive, the applicable UIT sales charges discount. The firm will determine the excess sales charges paid by customers and calculate monies owed, plus interest, and provide FINRA with a schedule of the same and a program of restitution.
36. On March 10, 2010, Stifel agreed to pay a \$133,000 fine to the State of Missouri and disgorgement of customer commissions in the amount of \$78,617 arising out of the State’s allegations that the firm failed to reasonably supervise a Missouri-registered agent with regard to transactions in certain securities accounts of three Missouri residents.
37. On April 6, 2009, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA resolving FINRA’s claim that the firm had failed to report to NASDAQ Market Center last sale reports of transactions in designated securities and failed to report to NMC the second leg of “riskless principal” transactions. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to pay a fine of \$5,000.

OTHER FINANCIAL INDUSTRY ACTIVITIES AND AFFILIATIONS

As set forth above, our firm is dual registered as an investment adviser and a broker-dealer, and is also a licensed insurance agency with various states. We also have a number of affiliates that are registered as investment advisers or broker-dealers (or both). In addition to being registered representatives of Stifel, some of our management persons may be registered representatives of these affiliated broker-dealers. Similarly, some of our management persons may be management persons of our affiliates, including Affiliated Advisers. Finally, some of our management persons may be licensed to practice law in various states. These individuals do not provide legal services to Clients. Our parent company, Stifel Financial Corp., is a publicly traded company (ticker: SF). We generally prohibit

our Financial Advisors from purchasing our parent company securities in Client Advisory accounts.

The following affiliates may be involved, directly or indirectly, in the Advisory services provided to Clients in the Programs covered in this brochure:

Affiliated Advisers and Broker-Dealers – We have a number of arrangements with our Affiliated Advisers and broker-dealers applicable to Clients enrolled in our Programs. As of the date of this brochure, our Affiliated Advisers included Ziegler Capital Management, LLC (“Ziegler”), 1919 Investment Counsel, EquityCompass, and WCA. These Affiliated Advisers provide Model Portfolios and/or manage Portfolios on a discretionary basis in a number of our Programs. We have a conflict of interest when our Financial Advisors recommend Affiliated Advisers rather than Independent Advisers, since any Product Fee received by an Affiliated Adviser remains within the Stifel umbrella and may have a positive impact on the performance of our parent company stock (of which the Financial Advisor is likely a shareholder). Moreover, our Financial Advisors may develop close personal relationships with employees and associated persons of our Affiliated Advisers and, as a result, may have an incentive to recommend such Affiliated Advisers over Independent Advisers. To mitigate this risk, we do not pay our Financial Advisors on the basis of recommendations of Affiliated Advisers or other affiliated products. In addition, we pay our Affiliated Advisers in the same range as Independent Advisers (i.e., the Product Fee to utilize the services and/or Portfolios of Affiliated Advisers is comparable to the Product Fee associated with Independent Advisers).

We also serve as clearing broker and custodian to accounts sourced by our affiliate, Century Securities Associates (“Century”), and make a wide range of Advisory services and support resources available for the use of Century clients. We may also provide portfolio management services to some of these clients to the extent they are enrolled in any Program or Portfolio where we maintain discretion. We receive a share of the fees and/or charges paid by Century clients in connection with the services that we provide.

Affiliated Trust Companies – Our affiliated trust companies, Stifel Trust Company, N.A. and Stifel Trust Company Delaware, N.A., each provide personal trust services (including serving as trustee or co-trustee, or custodian) for individuals and organizations. From time to time, as trustee or co-trustee, these trust affiliates may open an Advisory account with us. In such cases, the fees charged by our trust affiliates are structured in a manner that is consistent with applicable fiduciary principles.

Keefe, Bruyette & Woods (“KBW”) – Our Financial Advisors may, from time to time, use research provided by our affiliate, KBW, in connection with the services provided to Clients with Advisory accounts. Clients are not charged for the value of such research. Stifel does not use KBW to execute Client trades or otherwise provide services to Client accounts.

Affiliated Mutual Funds and Other Products – From time to time, Client assets may be invested in shares of mutual funds managed or sub-advised by our Affiliated Advisers. In each

such case, the Affiliated Adviser either receives a management fee from the mutual fund, or receives compensation from the product or issuer or other related person of the fund as remuneration for the services provided that is based on client assets invested in the fund, including investments made through our Advisory Programs. Similarly, a number of our affiliates (including Affiliated Advisers) may also receive licensing and other fees from ETFs in connection with which the affiliate provides the constituent index or other services. Such licensing and other fees depend on the amount of assets invested in the ETF and the amounts of shares outstanding, including (but not limited to) investments made, and shares held, through our Advisory Programs. Our affiliates may also issue or otherwise be associated with various other products, including brokered certificates of deposits, UITs and other products. Our Financial Advisors may recommend any of these products to our Clients and/or an Affiliated Adviser may purchase one or more of these affiliated products in a Portfolio made available in our Advisory Programs. **We have a conflict of interest when our Financial Advisors recommend or purchase affiliated products to Clients since, to the extent an affiliate receives any additional compensation in connection with any such products, the compensation received ultimately has a positive impact on the performance of our parent company stock (of which the Financial Advisor is likely a shareholder).** To mitigate such conflicts, we may limit the purchase of such products in Programs where we as a firm, or our Financial Advisors, have investment discretion. For Programs where we do not have discretion (and, therefore, affiliated products are allowed), we rebate the value representing the pro-rated fee or other compensation received (if any) by our Affiliated Adviser in connection with affiliated products held in Clients' retirement accounts. We may also decide, in our sole discretion, to provide similar rebates to non-retirement accounts in certain Programs. However, we generally will **not** provide rebates for affiliated products held by non-retirement accounts in our non-discretionary Programs. Clients entitled to a rebate should note, however, that we determine such rebates retroactively, based on the value of the product (e.g., fund shares) in the Client account as of a pre-determined date (typically at month-end), and pay the rebates a quarter or more in arrears (without interest). Moreover, our process only reviews whether an affiliated product is held in Advisory accounts as of the beginning of the month and, thereafter, assumes that each such product is held (or not held) in the account(s) for the remainder of the month. As such, an eligible Advisory account that purchases an affiliated product in the middle of the month will **not** receive any rebate for that month and, similarly, an eligible Advisory account that sells an affiliated product in the middle of the month will receive a rebate for the entire month even though the position was only held for part of the month. Notwithstanding, some affiliated products may not have any imbedded fees that we can rebate back to the Client, even where such products are held in our Advisory accounts; Clients should carefully consider any and all disclosures provided in connection with transactions in such products.

Stifel Bank & Trust ("SB&T") – In connection with the insured bank deposit programs offered as cash sweep options for our Client accounts (discussed below in the section titled "Cash Sweep Options"), our affiliate, SB&T, is either the sole

participating bank, or one of the participating banks (and typically the first bank), into which idle cash swept from eligible Client accounts may be swept. From time to time, Clients may also have a direct relationship with SB&T and hold other personal deposit and/or bank accounts at SB&T, in which case, such Clients are solely responsible for any customary fees that are charged with respect to such deposit or other bank accounts. Furthermore, as set forth under the section "Credit Line Loans" below, SB&T may compensate us in connection with Credit Line Loans that Clients hold at SB&T (based on the outstanding balance). Clients should therefore note that the Financial Advisor may have an incentive to recommend such Credit Line Loans and, as such, should carefully review the terms of any proposed Credit Line Loan prior to taking out any such Loan. Finally, SB&T may, from time to time, issue brokered certificate of deposits which we may determine to make available for purchase by our clients.

Limited Partnerships and Other Private Funds – Our firm may, directly or through an affiliate, act as general partner, manager, or managing member of various investment partnerships, limited liability companies, and similar entities (collectively referred to as "Affiliated Funds"). These Affiliated Funds are offered to eligible investors, some of whom may have Advisory accounts with us. Solicitation activities for these securities are typically made via an offering memorandum, circular, or prospectus and may only be made to clients for whom such investments are deemed suitable. Regardless of whether such funds are Affiliated Funds or not affiliated with us, investors will indirectly incur various fees and expenses as described in the offering documents for the applicable fund. With limited exceptions, Clients that invest in Affiliated Funds at Stifel are required to hold such securities in brokerage accounts. To the extent that Affiliated Funds are held in brokerage accounts, these clients are not charged Advisory Account Fees with respect to the holdings. If an exception is granted for a Client to purchase and/or hold such Affiliated Funds in an Advisory account, depending on the particular Affiliated Fund and/or the specific class, series, or type of interest held, Stifel may charge an Advisory Account Fee with respect to such securities held in the Advisory account, which Advisory Account Fee will generally be in lieu of fees charged by the Collective Investment Vehicle (but in addition to any fees charged by any underlying investments in which the affiliated Collective Investment Vehicle owns), or the management fee or placement fee may be waived or reduced. Alternatively, the value of such securities held in the Advisory account will be excluded from the Advisory Account Fee billing.

Stifel Nicolaus Insurance Agency, Incorporated – As set forth above, our firm is licensed as an insurance agency in a number of states and, as such, is able to sell insurance products to clients directly. However, in a few states, insurance products are sold through our affiliate, Stifel Nicolaus Insurance Agency, Incorporated. In such cases, the affiliate, and not our firm, will receive customary commissions paid by the insurance companies issuing Client policies. Financial Advisors who sell insurance products in such states typically are licensed as agents of the affiliate and will receive a portion of the insurance commissions paid.

* * * *

Each Client should note that each relationship set forth above creates a conflict of interest for our firm and/or Financial Advisors. Our firm acts as a fiduciary with respect to all Advisory services. As a fiduciary, we take reasonable steps to ensure that all material conflicts are fully disclosed to our Clients.

CODE OF ETHICS, PARTICIPATION OR INTEREST IN CLIENT TRANSACTIONS, AND PERSONAL TRADING

Code of Ethics

In addition to Stifel Financial Corp.'s Code of Ethics Policy, which is applicable to all Stifel personnel, our Advisory personnel are also subject to our Investment Advisory Code of Ethics ("IA Code of Ethics"). A copy of the IA Code of Ethics is available upon request. Set forth in the IA Code of Ethics are standards reasonably designed to promote honest and ethical conduct, comply with federal securities laws and governmental rules and regulations, maintain privacy of Client information, protect nonpublic information, and encourage associates to report any known violations. Such standards include placing Client interests first, avoiding any material or potential conflicts of interest, and ensuring that personal securities transactions are conducted appropriately. Compliance periodically reviews the IA Code of Ethics to ensure adequacy and effectiveness in complying with applicable regulations.

Participation or Interest in Client Transactions

To the extent we execute transactions for Client accounts, Advisory transactions are typically executed on an agency basis. However, our firm may trade with Clients and seek to earn a profit for its own account (such trades generally are referred to as "principal transactions"). Principal transactions are executed at prices and commission rates that we believe are competitive and in accordance with industry practice. Although we may be able to provide a more favorable price to a Client if we purchase from or sell to our inventory of securities, we generally are not able to engage in such transactions with Advisory accounts due to regulatory requirements, which require written disclosure and consent on a trade-by-trade basis. However, if the account is managed by an Independent Adviser who is directing the trade, we may, as broker, trade from our inventory without having to obtain Client consent for the transaction. In addition, we do not permit Advisory accounts to purchase securities in syndicated offerings from our firm or our affiliates.

When permitted by applicable law and firm policy, we may cause Client accounts to engage in cross and agency cross transactions. A cross transaction occurs when we cause a Client account to buy securities from, or sell securities to, another Client, and our firm does not receive a commission from the transaction. We may (but are under no obligation to) cause Client accounts to engage in cross transactions. An agency cross transaction occurs when our firm acts as broker for a Client account on one side of the transaction and a brokerage account or another Client account on the other side of the transaction in connection with the purchase or sale of securities by the Client account, and our firm receives a commission from the

transaction. We will have a potentially conflicting division of loyalties and responsibilities to the parties to cross and agency-cross transactions, including with respect to a decision to enter into such transaction as well as with respect to valuation, pricing, and other terms. We have adopted policies and procedures in relation to such transactions and conflicts. However, there can be no assurance that such transactions will be effected in the manner that is most favorable to a Client account that is a party to any such transaction. Cross transactions may disproportionately benefit some Client accounts relative to other Client accounts due to the relative amount of market savings obtained by the client accounts. Cross or agency cross transactions are effected in accordance with fiduciary requirements and applicable law (which may include providing disclosure and obtaining client consent). To the extent such consent is provided in advance of the cross or agency cross transactions, Clients may revoke the consent at any time by written notice to Stifel or their Financial Advisor, and any such revocation will be effective once we have received and have had a reasonable time to act on it.

Certain of our Financial Advisors may recommend securities of issuers that our firm has otherwise sponsored or promoted (including as initial public offerings and other syndicated offerings). Client transactions in such offerings are required to be effected in brokerage accounts, not Advisory accounts. Clients who participate in such transactions should note, therefore, that neither Stifel nor the Financial Advisor is, in any way, acting as a fiduciary with respect to any such transactions. As associated persons of a registered broker-dealer, our Financial Advisors are generally prohibited from participating in these offerings. However, some of our affiliates may, for their own accounts or for accounts of their clients, take substantial positions in such securities. In such cases, the affiliate may indirectly benefit from our Financial Advisor's investment recommendations if (for example) the later purchase by our Client accounts of the securities (i.e., in the secondary market) cause the price of those securities to rise. In general, our policies prohibit Stifel personnel from sharing information relating to investments made for Client accounts with affiliates or other parties, unless such parties need to know such information in order to provide services to any affected client accounts and such disclosure is permitted by law. To the extent that associated persons obtain information relating to investments by Stifel and/or an affiliate, such associated persons are prohibited from (i) passing such information to any other person who does not need to know the information in order to perform required duties and (ii) using such information to benefit a Financial Advisor or Client.

Our officers and/or employees (including our Financial Advisors) may serve on the boards of companies in Clients' portfolios. In addition, our firm or affiliates may provide services to such portfolio companies. The portfolio companies may compensate us (or our affiliates) for services with options to purchase stock or other equity interests of the portfolio companies. If an affiliate owns options or other securities issued by portfolio companies, a conflict of interest may arise between the timing of any exercise or sale of these options, and our decisions about the same portfolio securities for Client accounts. We do not solicit such information from any affiliate.

Our firm, Financial Advisors, and affiliates frequently have access to non-public information about publicly traded companies. When this occurs, our Financial Advisors (and therefore, their Client accounts) may be prohibited from trading an existing position at a time that would be beneficial to such Clients, resulting in investment losses or the failure to achieve investment gains. In other cases, we may purchase or sell the securities of an issuer at a time when an affiliate or its employees have material non-public information about such securities or their issuers if the affiliates have not otherwise notified us of their possession of such information. Our affiliates and their respective employees have no duty to make any such information available to us, and we have no duty to obtain such information from the affiliates and do not otherwise solicit such information.

Personal Trading

Our employees and affiliates may invest in any Advisory Programs that we offer. Our written supervisory procedures are designed to detect and prevent the misuse of material, non-public information by employees. Our firm and affiliates, directors, officers, stockholders, employees, and members of their families may have positions in and, from time to time, buy or sell securities that we recommend to Advisory accounts. We prohibit transactions in our firm account(s) and accounts of associated persons in any security that is the subject of a recommendation of our Research department until the recommendation has been disseminated to Clients and a reasonable time has elapsed following the dissemination. Our directors, officers, and employees are prohibited from buying or selling securities for their personal accounts if the decision to do so is substantially derived, in whole or in part, by reason of their employment, unless the information is also available to the investing public or through reasonable inquiry. We maintain and regularly review securities holdings in the accounts of persons who may have access to Advisory recommendations.

BROKERAGE PRACTICES

About Our Broker-Dealer

Our firm's principal business in terms of revenue and personnel is that of a securities broker-dealer. As a broker-dealer, we execute securities transactions per client instructions. As an integral part of the services offered when providing brokerage services, Financial Advisors may assist clients in identifying investment goals, creating strategies that are reasonably designed to meet those goals, and making suitable buy, hold, and sell recommendations based on risk tolerance and financial circumstances. However, Financial Advisors do not make investment decisions on behalf of clients and do not charge any fees for any incidental advice given when providing brokerage services. *Absent special circumstances, Financial Advisors are not held to fiduciary standards when providing brokerage services.* Legal obligations to disclose detailed information about the nature and scope of our business, personnel, commissions charged, material or potential conflicts of interests, and other matters are limited when acting as a broker-dealer.

Our Responsibilities as a Broker-Dealer

As a broker-dealer, Stifel is held to the legal standards of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, FINRA rules, and state laws where applicable. Such standards include fair dealings with clients, reasonable and fair execution prices in light of prevailing market conditions, reasonable commissions and other charges, and reasonable basis for believing that securities recommendations are suitable.

Brokerage clients pay commission charges on a per transaction basis for securities execution services in their brokerage accounts. As set forth elsewhere in this brochure, with limited exceptions, accounts enrolled in the Programs above generally pay a wrap fee that covers Stifel's advisory custodial, execution, and administrative services, as well as other applicable advisory and portfolio management services by Advisers. See "Fees and Compensation" for more details about the wrap fee.

Execution of Transactions

As set forth above, as wrap sponsor, we typically execute trades for accounts in the Programs covered in this brochure to the extent we have trading discretion and/or if Investment Managers direct trades to our firm. However, we may determine to effect transactions through other broker-dealers if we determine, in light of all applicable factors, that executing through the other broker-dealer would provide better execution than would be the case if we self-executed. Investment Managers in the Opportunity, Connect, Select Manager, IMC Programs, and certain Score Portfolios have discretion to effect trades on behalf of Clients through broker-dealers other than Stifel. An Investment Manager may trade away if it determines, in its sole discretion, such trade away would be in the best interests of its clients, such as to satisfy its best execution obligations. As set forth above, Clients in our Programs pay fees to Stifel and, as applicable, the Investment Manager for services, which include costs related to transactions in client accounts effected through Stifel. However, for all transactions executed through other broker-dealers, Clients will likely (but may not always) incur additional costs, such as commissions or markups/markdowns embedded in the price of the security, that are **in addition to, and not included in**, the Advisory Account Fee. As such, Clients are separately responsible for any execution costs incurred in connection with such trades. These additional costs are not reflected on Client account statements; however, if the Investment Manager has provided the appropriate information to us regarding such trades and the related additional costs, the information will be indicated on trade confirmations, or on quarterly transaction confirmation reports provided to those Clients who have elected to suppress immediate trade confirmations.¹

¹ All other information shown does not reflect any additional execution costs resulting from trades executed through other broker-dealers.

As Advisers, Investment Managers have a fiduciary obligation to act in the best interests of their advisory clients and are therefore required to seek to obtain "best execution" in effecting trades on behalf of such clients. Under the Advisers Act, "best execution" generally means executing transactions in a manner such that the client's total cost or proceeds are the most favorable under the

circumstances. Although it is important for Investment Managers to seek the best price for a security in the marketplace and minimize unnecessary brokerage costs in satisfying its obligations, these are not the only factors used to determine whether the Investment Manager has satisfied its obligations. It is not an obligation to get the lowest possible commission cost, or to solicit competitive bids for each transaction, but rather, the Investment Manager determine whether the transaction represents the best qualitative execution for its clients. In selecting a broker-dealer, Investment Managers may consider the full range and quality of services offered by the broker-dealer, including the value of the research provided (if any), execution capability, commission rate charged, the broker-dealer's financial responsibility, and its responsiveness. *It is also important to note that Stifel does not monitor, review, or otherwise evaluate whether an Investment Manager is satisfying its best execution obligations to clients.*

Types of Securities Traded. Investment Managers whose strategies consist primarily (or substantially) of fixed income securities, foreign securities (including American Depositary Receipts or ordinary shares), exchange traded funds, and/or small cap securities are generally more likely to trade away from Stifel. This means that clients investing in such strategies are more likely to incur execution costs in addition to the Advisory Account Fee paid to Stifel. Clients should, therefore, take these costs into consideration when selecting and/or deciding to remain invested in the affected strategies.

Trade Aggregation. Investment Managers typically manage wrap client accounts for multiple firms using the same strategy, and may also manage other directly sourced accounts side-by-side with wrap client accounts. In certain cases, an Investment Manager may decide to aggregate all transactions for clients in its Portfolio(s) into a block trade that is executed through one broker-dealer, rather than separately through each participating firm (such as Stifel). Aggregating transactions into a single block may enable the Investment Manager to obtain a better price or additional investment opportunities for its clients, as well as allow the Investment Manager to exercise more control over the execution, including (for example) potentially avoiding an adverse effect on the price of a security that could result from simultaneously placing a number of separate, successive, and/or competing client orders.

Investment Managers' Historical Trading Away Practices.

We maintain a list of Investment Managers with trading discretion over Client accounts that have notified us that they traded away from Stifel during the previous year – the list is typically available no earlier than the second quarter of the following year. The list includes the names of the applicable Portfolios, information about the trade-away practices of the Investment Manager for a particular Portfolio, and the average associated costs (if any) during the applicable year. The information is provided to existing investors in the affected Portfolios, as well as to new Clients seeking to enroll into an affected Portfolio after such information is available. However, the information contained in the list is based solely upon information provided to Stifel by each Investment Manager and is not independently verified by Stifel. As a result, Stifel does

not make any representations as to the accuracy of the information presented. The information in the list regarding an Investment Manager's prior trade-away practices is not a guarantee that a particular Investment Manager will exercise or repeat the same practices in the future and/or with the same frequency. It is possible that Investment Managers could trade away more or less frequently, or at a higher or lower commission rate, fee, or other expenses, resulting in greater or lesser costs than those indicated. Individual clients enrolled in the Portfolios noted may experience different results. Similarly, it is possible that an Investment Manager that has not previously, or recently, traded away from Stifel will do so in the future.

Additional information about an Investment Manager's brokerage practices, including the factors that the Investment Manager considers in satisfying its best execution obligations, which may vary according to the type(s) of securities traded, is contained in each Investment Manager's Form ADV Part 2A Brochure. Clients should review each Investment Manager's trading away practices before selecting, or while reviewing, the Investment Manager's Portfolios.

Orders for most Advisory Programs are routed for agency execution. Our firm does not impose commissions (including markups or markdowns) on transactions that we execute for fee-based advisory accounts; however, as agency transactions, the broker on the other side of the transaction may charge a markup or markdown that may be equal to, or greater, than any markup or markdown we would have charged if we executed the trade in a principal capacity). Where permissible by applicable law (for example, in our Opportunity Program where an Independent Adviser is directing the trade), we may act as broker for the transaction and, at the same time, purchase and/or sell securities for a Client transaction from our inventory. Consistent with applicable regulations, such inventory trades are not considered "principal transactions" to the extent that an Investment Manager (not Stifel) determines that purchasing the securities from Stifel inventory is in the underlying Clients' best interest.

On the execution end, Advisory account orders are generally treated with the same priority and procedural flow as non-advisory brokerage trades (except, such orders are not routed to our market makers and may be done as a block order, which may have different rules and priorities). We generally use automated systems to route and execute orders for the purchase and sale of securities for most Advisory accounts, unless directed by Clients to do otherwise. We use a reasonable diligence to ascertain the best markets for a security and to buy and sell in such markets so that the resultant price to the customer is as favorable as possible under prevailing market conditions. Certain large orders that require special handling may be routed to a market center for execution via telephone or other electronic means. We regularly monitor existing and potential execution venues and may route orders in exchange-listed or over-the-counter ("OTC") securities to other venues if it is believed that such routing is consistent with best execution principles. For equity securities, we monitor the performance of competing market centers and generally route orders to those that consistently complete transactions timely and at a reasonable cost and which normally execute at the national best bid or offer. Whenever possible, orders are routed to market centers that offer opportunities for price

improvement through automated systems. We execute mutual fund transactions for Advisory accounts through traditional omnibus vendors, or through clearing arrangements with other brokerage firms under so-called super-omnibus arrangements.

Aggregation of Trades in Advisory Portfolios

To the extent possible, and in order to seek a more advantageous trade price, we may (but are not required to) aggregate orders for the purchase of a security for the Accounts of several discretionary Client accounts for execution in a single transaction (“block trades”). However, Clients in our Solutions Program should be aware that we do not require Financial Advisors who manage Solutions accounts to aggregate orders for Client accounts into block trades. As a result, Clients with Solutions accounts managed by the same Financial Advisor (including, for example, in the same Solutions Portfolio) may receive different execution prices even when trading in the same security on the same day. Additionally, we may not be able to execute block trades notwithstanding that more than one MBT Portfolio is trading in the same securities on the same day. Clients should, therefore, understand that discretionary accounts in one or more MBT Portfolios and/or Programs may get different prices even if such accounts trade in the same security on the same day. When used, block trading can allow us to execute equity trades in a timely, equitable manner. The related transaction costs are shared equally at an average price per share and on a pro rata basis between all accounts included in the block trade. Orders that cannot be filled in the same block trade or at the same average price are assigned to accounts in a manner that ensures no bias towards any Client. This practice does not ordinarily affect or otherwise reduce fees, commissions, or other costs charged to Clients for these transactions, but may provide price improvement. A partial fill of a block trade may be allocated among Client accounts randomly, pro rata, or by some other equitable procedure. In certain cases, Advisers on our Advisory platform may use computer systems that allocate purchase and sale transactions either on a random or pro rata basis. In any case, Clients may pay higher or lower prices for securities than may otherwise have been obtained.

In connection with the handling of block orders, our firm may engage in hedging, offsetting, liquidating, facilitating, or positioning transactions (“risk-mitigating transactions”) that may occur at the same time or in advance of a client order, and these activities may have impact on market prices. Beyond these risk-mitigating transactions, our firm and/or affiliates will refrain from any conduct that could disadvantage or harm the execution of client orders or that would place our financial interests ahead of clients. Unless we are informed in writing (“opt out”), we will conclude that clients understand that we may engage in risk-mitigating transactions in connection with client orders and will conclude that clients have given us (including our affiliates) consent to handle block transactions as described above. Clients can contact their Financial Advisor for instructions on how to opt out.

Directed Brokerage

Some Independent or Affiliated Advisers acting as Investment Manager may require Clients to direct brokerage. When Clients direct brokerage away from Stifel, it generally will result in higher costs. The wrap fee for Advisory services do not cover,

and Clients are responsible for, brokerage commissions, markups, markdowns, and/or other costs associated with transactions effected through or with other broker-dealer firms.

Payment for Order Flow

Payment for order flow is defined as any monetary payment, service, property, or benefit that results from remuneration, compensation, or consideration to a broker-dealer from another broker-dealer in return for routing customer orders to that broker-dealer. While Stifel does not receive payment for order flow from other broker-dealers, Stifel does receive certain rebates for routing orders to the exchanges that execute such orders. The rebate varies on the order type.

Trade Error Correction

It is our firm’s policy that if there is a trade error for which we and/or an Investment Manager are responsible, trades will be adjusted or reversed as needed in order to put the Client’s account in the position that it would have been in if the error had not occurred. Errors will be corrected at no cost to Client’s account, with the Client’s account not recognizing any loss from the error. The Client’s account will be fully compensated for any losses incurred as a result of an error. If the trade error results in a gain, our firm typically retains the gain.

We offer many services and, from time to time, may have other Clients in other Programs trading in opposition to Clients’ Advisory accounts. To avoid favoring one Client over another Client, we attempt to use objective market data in the correction of any trading errors.

Research and Other Benefits

Financial Advisors and Clients have access to research published by our firm’s research analysts (“Stifel Research”), the primary source of our research. Subject to certain exceptions, we incorporate the insights and economic perspectives of Stifel Research, where appropriate, into our products and services. *Clients should be aware that our firm may have conflicts of interest in connection with research reports published.* Stifel and other affiliates may have long or short positions, or deal as principal or agent, in relevant securities, or may provide Advisory or other services to issuers of relevant securities or to companies connected with issuers covered in research reports issued by Stifel Research. Our research analysts’ compensation is not based on investment banking revenues; however, their compensation may relate to revenues or profitability of Stifel business groups as a whole, which may include investment banking, sales, and trading services. Financial Advisors also have access to proprietary models covering equities, fixed income, mutual funds, and municipal securities developed by our firm’s various business areas.

Our firm may also use research obtained from other financial institutions, including our affiliate, KBW, as well as from other affiliated or unaffiliated broker-dealers and/or investment advisers. In general, we seek third-party research that is in-depth fundamental corporate research to assist in providing advisory services to clients. We do not use commission dollars from Program accounts to pay for research, but generally pay for such research using hard dollars. However, our Financial Advisors may obtain research from firms that make such

research available because the firm also provides other products and services to us (for example, an Adviser on an Affiliate's platform may make its research reports available to our Advisors). Clients should be aware that our receipt of these research services may present a conflict of interest by creating an incentive for our firm and/or Financial Advisors to recommend the investment products offered by the research provider firms (or by their affiliates). Our personnel generally do not recommend products based on the value of research services received directly from a research provider or their affiliates. Research services are generally used to benefit all client accounts, whether or not such research was generated by the applicable client account. However, not all research services will be used for all client accounts; the type of research used with respect to any one account will depend on, among other things, the types of investments that are deemed suitable for the account.

Finally, some of our Financial Advisors may also obtain research from other independent sources. Generally, such research is publicly available and the Financial Advisors do not pay extra to receive such research. However, in certain cases, Financial Advisors may pay for third-party research that may be used in connection with services provided to Client accounts. In general, Stifel does not use any such financial institution in connection with trade executions in Client accounts.

Margin

We do not allow the use of margin in Advisory accounts except in limited cases. For those Clients that are specifically permitted, the use of margin strategies will be limited to eligible non-retirement Advisory accounts at Stifel. Notwithstanding the foregoing, we generally allow Clients to use the assets held in their Advisory accounts as collateral for margin debits held in non-Advisory accounts. *The use of leverage, or investing with borrowed funds, is generally not recommended in Advisory Programs*; however, it may be approved on an exception basis when specifically requested by individual Clients, or for use in specialized strategies. Certain eligibility requirements must be met, and documentation in the form of a separate margin agreement must be completed prior to using leverage in Advisory accounts. Only Clients can authorize the use of leverage in an Advisory account (that is, neither our firm nor our Financial Advisors can use discretion to set up a margin arrangement or privileges for a Client's Advisory account, even in cases where a Client has granted investment discretion to these persons or entities). In making the decision to set up margin privileges for an Advisory account, it is important Clients understand the risks associated with employing margin strategies, the impact the use of borrowed funds may have on Advisory accounts, and how investment objectives may be negatively affected. Employing margin in Advisory accounts is a more aggressive, higher-risk approach to pursuing investment objectives. Clients should carefully consider whether the additional risks are appropriate prior to employing margin strategies due to the increased potential for significantly greater losses associated with using margin strategies. The use of margin also involves higher costs; Clients pay interest to our firm on the outstanding loan balance of their original margin loan. Furthermore, Advisory Account Fees are calculated as a percentage of total assets in the account; therefore, employing

margin strategies to buy securities in Advisory accounts generally increases the amount of, but not the percentage of, the Advisory Account Fees. *This results in additional compensation to us. The amount of the margin loan is not deducted from the total value of the investments when determining account value for purposes of calculating the Advisory Account Fee.* As a result, Clients may lose more than their original investments. Likewise, a positive or negative performance, net of interest charges and fees, is magnified. Gains or losses are greater than would be the case in accounts that do not employ margin strategies. A number of the risks discussed above apply, even in cases there the margin debit is held in a non-Advisory account, and Advisory assets are being used to cross-collateralize the loan. **Finally, to the extent that a maintenance call is triggered in connection with a margined account and we are forced to sell any assets used as collateral for the margin loan, we will act solely in our capacity as a registered broker-dealer (and not as an investment adviser or other fiduciary), even where such collateral is held in an Advisory account. Moreover, if selling such assets, we will seek to maximize our interest, and will not prioritize a Client's interest.** *Clients generally will not benefit from employing margin strategies if the performance of individual accounts does not exceed interest expenses on the loan plus fees incurred as a result of depositing the proceeds of the loan.*

Credit Line Loans

Clients may apply for Credit Line Loans from our affiliate, SB&T, using eligible securities accounts, including eligible Advisory accounts, as collateral. The proceeds of such loans may not be used to (a) purchase, carry, or trade in securities, (b) repay or retire any indebtedness incurred to purchase, carry, or trade in securities, or (c) repay or retire any debt to (or otherwise purchase any product or service from or any security or other asset issued by) any affiliate of SB&T. If Advisory accounts are used to collateralize Credit Line Loans, the accounts are pledged to support any Credit Line Loans extended and Clients are not permitted to withdraw funds or other assets unless sufficient amounts of collateral remain to continue supporting the Credit Line Loans (as determined by SB&T in its sole discretion). Although Clients are required to satisfy such collateral requirements, they may terminate their Advisory relationship with Stifel, at which time these funds or assets will be maintained in a brokerage account at Stifel. Clients pay interest to SB&T on Credit Line Loans at customary rates. Certain eligibility requirements must be met and loan documentation must be completed prior to applying for Credit Line Loans.

Credit Line Loans extended by SB&T are typically demand loans that are subject to collateral maintenance requirements. SB&T may demand repayment at any time. If the required collateral value is not maintained, SB&T may require additional collateral, partial or entire repayment of any Credit Line Loans extended. Clients may need to deposit additional cash or collateral or repay a partial or entire amount of the funds borrowed if the value of their portfolio declines below the required loan-to-value ratio. Because SB&T assigns different release rates to different asset types, in some cases, Clients may also be able to satisfy such requirements by selling securities with a low release rate and investing and/or holding the proceeds in assets that have a higher release rate for the loan. In each

case, failure to promptly meet requests for additional collateral or repayment, or other circumstances including a rapidly declining market, may cause SB&T to instruct us to liquidate some or all of the collateral supporting any Credit Line Loan in order to meet collateral maintenance requirements. Depending on market circumstances, the prices obtained for the securities may be less than favorable. Any required liquidations may interrupt the account's investment strategy and may result in adverse tax consequences.

As set forth above, SB&T typically pays us a fee (up to 0.25%) of the outstanding Credit Line Loan balance, a portion of which is paid to your Financial Advisor. SB&T may also make additional de minimis payments to Stifel service personnel that provide administrative assistance during the Credit Line Loan application process. These payments are in addition to any Advisory Account Fees charged with respect to the Advisory assets used to collateralize the Credit Line Loan. As such, these payments present a material conflict of interest for us in that they create a financial incentive for your Stifel Financial Advisor to make recommendations based on the additional compensation to be received rather than solely based on your financial needs. For example, a Financial Advisor may recommend that you open a Credit Line Loan rather than withdraw money from your Advisory accounts in order to retain the Advisory Account Fee that such assets are otherwise generating and to receive the additional compensation from SB&T with respect to any outstanding Credit Line Loan balance that you maintain. Similarly, a Financial Advisor may recommend the continued maintenance of such Credit Line Loan to retain such payments. Finally a Financial Advisor may recommend that you invest or hold your Advisory account assets in positions that have been assigned high release rates by SB&T for the Credit Line Loan (but which positions ultimately generate low investment returns for your Advisory account) in order to avoid maintenance calls on the Credit Line Loan which would require loan repayment and/or the liquidation of Advisory assets. Depending on your specific circumstances, including the intended use of the proceeds from the Credit Line Loan and the return on your Advisory account, over the long term, it may cost you more to take out the Credit Line Loan than if you had withdrawn the money from your Advisory account. Clients are therefore encouraged to carefully consider the total cost of taking out any Credit Line Loan, and any additional compensation that the Financial Advisor will receive, when determining to take out and/or maintain Credit Line Loans. *Finally, to the extent that a maintenance call is triggered in connection with a Credit Line Loan and we are forced to sell any assets used as collateral for such Credit Line Loan, we will act solely in our capacity as a broker-dealer (and not as an investment adviser or other fiduciary), even where such collateral is held in an Advisory account. Moreover, if selling such assets, we will seek to maximize our interest (and/or those of SB&T), and will not prioritize a Client's interest.*

Other Important Considerations Relating to the Use of Margin or Credit Line Loans in Connection With Advisory Accounts.

None of the firm, SB&T, or our Financial Advisors provide legal or tax advice. Clients should consult legal counsel and tax

advisors before using borrowed funds as collateral for loans. Neither our firm nor our affiliates act as investment adviser with respect to the liquidation of securities held in Advisory accounts to meet margin calls or Credit Line Loan demands, and as creditors, our firm and our affiliates may have interests that are adverse to Clients. There are substantial risks associated with the use of borrowed funds for investment purposes and the use of securities as collateral for loans. Additional limitations and availability may vary by state.

Mortgage Lending. Mortgage loans are originated by SB&T, Equal Housing Lender, NMLS# 375103. Your Stifel Financial Advisor, however, does not offer residential mortgage products and is unable to accept any residential mortgage loan applications or to offer or negotiate terms of any such loan. We may compensate your Financial Advisor in connection with the origination of any mortgage loan, where permissible by law.

CASH SWEEP OPTIONS

We offer one or more sweep options for the available cash balances in Client accounts, depending on the type of account that a Client has or is establishing (i.e., retirement versus non-retirement). Clients should review the sections "The Stifel Automatic Cash Investment Service" and "Disclosure Documents for Automatic Cash Investment" of the Brokerage Account Agreement and Disclosure Booklet (the "Brokerage Account Agreement") for the terms, conditions, and other important information relating to the applicable sweep options, including a discussion of the various conflicts that we may have in connection with such options as well as how we seek to mitigate such conflicts. Clients may access the Brokerage Account Agreement, as amended from time to time, under the "Important Disclosures" section of www.stifel.com, or may request a copy from their Financial Advisor.

REVIEW OF ACCOUNTS

Account Review

Each new account enrolled in a Program is reviewed by the applicable Financial Advisor's supervisor prior to account opening. Thereafter, Financial Advisors perform account reviews regularly.

Portfolio Performance

Clients in the Programs covered in this brochure generally receive quarterly analyses of their portfolio performance relative to comparable market indices or other benchmarks. Performance information is verified by Stifel's Consulting Services Operations staff by reviewing the performance results for consistency among similar Portfolios and identifying any unusual variations or inaccuracies. In certain limited circumstances, Clients may be allowed to waive receipt of periodic performance reports from Stifel.

Performance Information

We typically provide performance reports to Clients on a quarterly basis using performance returns calculated by our

primary performance system. Our primary system calculates total performance returns (after deduction of actual trading expenses) using a daily calculation methodology that adjusts for cash flows in the account as of the applicable date. In certain limited cases, we may calculate Client account performance using one of our secondary performance systems. Our secondary performance systems generally calculate performance returns using the Modified Dietz Method, which is a time-weighted method that also identifies and accounts for cash flows in the account. If the date of a cash flow is not known, we will assume a mid-month date for cash flows. Regardless of the system from which performance is calculated, our policies require our personnel to periodically review a sampling of the performance returns to confirm their accuracy or compliance with presentation standards.

We rely on publicly recorded information, use various vendor systems, and/or rely on valuations provided by third-party custodians holding assets and/or accounts that are part of a Client's Advisory relationship with us in determining the values used in our performance reports. If Client accounts include privately issued alternative investments, we rely on values provided by the management, administrators, and sponsors of each such investment and may, as a result of delays in getting information from such parties, use estimated values in reporting the performance of such investments. ***Stifel does not independently verify any such valuations.*** The actual value, once determined, may differ from the estimates previously provided by the third party to Stifel and, therefore, used by Stifel in previous reports and calculations. Clients may, thus, not be able to realize the same value as shown for such assets upon a sale or redemption of the same. ***Each Client should also note that Stifel will not amend previously issued calculations or reports as a result of changes in the valuation figures provided by such third parties.***

Clients may notice a difference in the ending market values displayed on the quarter-end custodial statements issued by our firm versus our performance reports for the same account. Performance reports generally include any income that is earned (accrued) but not yet posted to Client accounts. Custodial statements also include accrued income, but the calculation may not match the calculation used for performance reporting purposes. Clients should contact their Financial Advisors if they have any questions relating to figures shown on their performance reports.

Transaction Statements

Clients with discretionary accounts held at Stifel typically receive monthly (but in no event less than quarterly) statements that identify buys, sells, dividends, interest, deposits, and disbursements in their accounts during the previous month, as well as the overall market value of the portfolio at month's end. A summary of portfolio holdings as of the end of each reported quarter is also listed. Clients may not waive receipt of account statements. Clients whose accounts are held away from Stifel, with a qualified custodian (but who trade through Stifel), will receive a statement with respect to each month in which a transaction is effected in their Stifel account. However, if no transactions are effected in accounts held away from Stifel, such Clients may receive their statements on a quarterly basis. All

other clients utilizing an unaffiliated, third-party custodian will receive statements from their applicable custodian based on the custodian's own delivery schedule.

Realized Gain/Loss Summary

Custodial statements from Stifel include annual listings of all closed transactions in their accounts during each calendar year, as well as the offsetting cost of each transaction and, thus, the realized gains/losses for each closing transaction.

Year-End Tax Report

With respect to those accounts for which our firms acts as custodian, we provide such Clients 1099 statements for the previous tax year. 1099 statements include both reportable and non-reportable information, cost basis for securities that have been sold, and additional information to assist with tax preparation.

Transaction Confirmations

Clients with discretionary accounts may elect to receive trade confirmations immediately upon execution in their accounts, or defer confirmations until the end of each quarter. Eligible Clients who elect to defer confirmations receive summary reports at the end of each quarter outlining the transactions posted to their accounts during the most recent calendar quarter. The election to receive confirmations immediately or quarterly may be changed at any time upon the Client's written notice. Clients of non-discretionary programs are not eligible to defer confirmations. Clients that have signed up for online access to their Advisory accounts may review their transaction confirmations through the online portal.

CLIENT REFERRALS AND OTHER COMPENSATION

In general, we require that all solicitation or referral arrangements comply with applicable regulatory requirements, including, but not limited to, disclosures to Clients about the referral arrangement as well as any fees received (or paid) in connection with such referral at the time of the referral or execution of the Advisory Agreement. We have policies and procedures designed to assure that proper disclosures are provided to Clients at the time of solicitation and/or account opening, as well as that all Clients sign appropriate disclosure delivery receipts. Each affected Client will receive disclosures from the applicable solicitor disclosing the solicitation arrangement, as well as the fee paid by Stifel to such solicitor (or received by Stifel) in respect of the solicitation.

Our firm may enter into solicitation arrangements with one or more of our Affiliated Advisers, for us to act as solicitor for the Affiliated Adviser and/or the Affiliated Adviser to act as solicitor for our firm. In either case, the solicited clients should be aware that our Financial Advisors may have an incentive to recommend Affiliated Advisers over Independent Advisers, as the Affiliated Adviser's receipt of additional revenues for portfolio management services not otherwise available with the Financial Advisor may have a positive impact on our affiliated group. Similarly, our Affiliated Adviser may have an incentive to recommend our firm over other financial institutions. As of

the date of this brochure, our firm had entered into solicitation arrangements with the following Affiliated Advisers in which we have agreed to act as solicitor: Ziegler and 1919 Investment Counsel.

In addition to the arrangements set forth above, our firm also participates in the following solicitation or referral arrangements applicable to our Advisory services covered in this brochure:

Stifel Alliance Program

Under the Stifel Alliance Program (“Alliance”), we may compensate individuals or companies, either directly or indirectly, for Client referrals by sharing a portion of the fees charged by our firm. Our policies prohibit our Financial Advisors from up-charging any Client to make up for the portion paid to or otherwise expended in connection with an Alliance solicitor. We and/or our associated persons may pay for registration costs (if any) relating to the solicitor to facilitate the solicitor’s state registration (if required). As a result, such solicitors may have incentive to refer clients to Stifel over other firms.

Compensation for Client Referrals

Our firm and/or Financial Advisors may be compensated by third-party advisers for referring advisory clients to such third-party investment advisers. Compensation received in such arrangements is generally based on a percentage of the total fees paid by each client to the third-party adviser, typically for the duration of such Client’s arrangement with the third-party adviser. In other cases, a third-party adviser may agree to use our trade execution and custodial services for all referred Clients, and may also agree to open brokerage accounts for clients not introduced by us. By providing trade execution and custodial services to such Advisers, our firm and/or our Financial Advisors act in a brokerage capacity and may receive brokerage compensation. As such, Financial Advisors have an incentive to recommend third-party Advisers with whom the Financial Advisor and/or Stifel has a referral arrangement over those with no such arrangement. To the extent that such arrangements affect Clients’ Advisory accounts, the Financial Advisor’s brochure supplement generally will include a discussion of the applicable referral arrangements (if any).

As set forth above, our firm has entered into solicitation arrangements with certain of our Affiliated Advisers, pursuant to which we (or our Financial Advisors) receive compensation for referrals made to such Affiliated Advisers. In addition, our Financial Advisors also may receive nominal compensation for referring clients to our other affiliates for services including, but not limited to, our banking affiliates.

Other Compensation

As set forth above under “Fees and Compensation,” we may receive Revenue Sharing from private fund sponsors or managers to whom we refer Clients for investments. We may similarly receive payments from mutual funds in which Clients invest. Clients should also refer to the “Brokerage Practices” section above for a discussion of research services that certain Advisers may provide to our firm and Financial Advisors.

CUSTODY

Unless agreed upon otherwise, we maintain custody of Client assets. We have adopted policies and procedures that are designed to mitigate risks involved in being a self-custodial firm in an effort to ensure that our clients’ assets are protected. Among other things, we undergo a separate examination by an independent auditor, the purpose of which is to obtain the auditor’s report on our internal controls designed to safeguard clients’ assets held at our firm. Our firm also undergoes an annual surprise audit by an independent registered accounting firm, which audit is designed to verify the Clients’ assets. At the conclusion of the annual surprise audit, the independent auditor files a report with the SEC attesting to, among other things, our compliance with regulatory requirements.

Certain of our affiliates may also serve as qualified custodians of our Client assets. In such cases, consistent with applicable regulations, we generally receive a report issued by an independent registered public accountant relating to the affiliate’s internal controls in connection with its custody services.

VOTING CLIENT SECURITIES

Clients who receive investment advisory and/or portfolio management services may appoint Stifel or, if applicable, an Independent or Affiliated Adviser who manages their account on a discretionary basis, as applicable, to vote proxies on their behalf. Clients may change their proxy voting election at any time upon written notice to us. In voting proxies, we have a fiduciary responsibility to make investment decisions that are in the best interest of Clients and vote Client securities accordingly. As required by applicable regulations, we have adopted policies and procedures to govern the proxy voting process. Our policies provide that, in general, we will vote with management on routine issues and will vote non-routine issues in a manner calculated and intended to maximize shareholder value. We have retained a third-party proxy voting service to provide independent, objective research and voting recommendations, and to vote proxies on our behalf. In the event of a conflict between our firm’s interests and the interests of our Clients, we may decline to vote a proxy if the independent proxy voting service is unable to provide a voting recommendation and vote the securities on our behalf. In such cases, we generally will forward the proxies to the applicable Client for voting.

Clients may request a copy of our Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures at any time, including a record of the proxies voted in respect of their account.

We generally do not vote proxies for Clients whose custodial accounts are held by third-party custodians. If a Client is enrolled in the Connect Program, the Connect Adviser may or may not vote proxies for Client’s account. Clients should carefully review the separate agreement with the Connect Adviser to determine its proxy voting policies. If a Connect Adviser does not vote proxies on behalf of its clients, Client will generally be responsible for voting proxies in the account.

Investment Managers typically vote proxies held in Client accounts enrolled in their applicable Portfolios, provided that the Client has elected to grant the Investment Manager proxy voting authority. To the extent applicable, each Client enrolled in a Portfolio managed directly by the third-party Adviser receives a copy of the Investment Manager's proxy voting policy as part of the account opening process. Clients should carefully review each such proxy voting policy to ensure a good understanding of the process and the related risks prior to granting proxy voting authority to the Investment Manager.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

We do not have any adverse financial conditions to disclose under this Item.

ERISA RULE 408(b)(2) DISCLOSURE INFORMATION FOR QUALIFIED RETIREMENT PLANS

This section generally describes the fiduciary status of investment advisory services provided by and compensation paid to Stifel with respect to ERISA qualified retirement plans (each, a "Plan").

General Description of Status and Services Provided by Stifel to Plans

As set forth above in the section titled "*Wrap Fee Programs Offered by Stifel*" of this brochure, we offer and provide a variety of investment advisory Programs that are intended to assist responsible Plan fiduciaries with their prudent investment duties under ERISA. A thorough description of the services provided to a specific Plan is set forth in the applicable Advisory Agreement and may include investment management, trading, and/or custody services, as well as participant education and guidance.

Discretionary Investment Management Services – We offer and provide discretionary ERISA fiduciary investment advisory services through a variety of Programs covered in this brochure. These Programs are as follows: Fundamentals, Score, Solutions, Opportunity, Connect, Custom Advisory Portfolio, and Spectrum. Depending on the Program, discretionary portfolio management services may be provided directly through a Stifel Financial Advisor, by our home office personnel, or we may provide the Plan access to an Independent or Affiliated Adviser that provides such discretionary investment management services.

Non-Discretionary Advisory Services – We also offer and provide non-discretionary investment advisory and ERISA fiduciary services through the Horizon Program, as detailed above. Non-discretionary services are provided directly by your Financial Advisor.

More detailed information about these services and Programs is provided in, and each Plan Client should review, the section above entitled "*Wrap Fee Programs Offered by Stifel*."

Our Status

For a description of Stifel's status under the Advisers Act and under ERISA, please refer to the section "*Code of Ethics*" in the Brochure and the section titled "ERISA" in the applicable Advisory Agreement.

General Description of Compensation Paid to Stifel

Advisory Fees. Our firm accepts direct compensation in the form of fees paid pursuant to the Advisory Agreement entered into with the Plan at the time of account opening. Plan Clients should refer to the applicable Advisory Agreement for the fee calculation formula specific to the Plan account. For information about the manner in which these fees are paid, please see the section "*Deduction of Advisory Account Fees*" of this brochure and the section entitled "Fees and Billing" in the applicable Advisory Agreement.

Private Fund Fees. In limited circumstances and in connection with certain Plan investments in private funds (including, but not limited to, hedge funds and private equity funds), we may receive placement fees or other compensation indirectly from a private fund and/or its related persons in lieu of advisory fees paid directly by the Plan with respect to such investment. Where applicable, such placement fees or other compensation are disclosed in the subscription documents or other documents that you execute in connection with the Plan's investment in the private fund. See the section of this brochure titled "*Revenue Sharing and Other Compensation Arrangements With Private Investment Funds or Their Sponsors*" for more information.

Trade Errors. As set forth above under "Trade Error Correction," our policy is to put a Client's account in the position that it would have been in if an error had not occurred. As a result, to the extent a trade error results in a gain, Stifel will retain the resulting gain. Pursuant to applicable guidelines, such gains may be deemed additional compensation. We maintain a record of any losses and/or gains resulting from trade errors in any account and will provide such information to an account holder upon request.

ADR Pass-Through Fees. Plan accounts that invest in ADRs may also incur pass-through fees, which are typically charged by the sponsors of certain ADRs as custody-related expenses. When applicable, Stifel collects ADR pass-through fees from applicable Plan assets, then forwards all such ADR pass-through fees to the Depository Trust Company (or other applicable central securities depository).

Compensation From Funds and Other Collective Investment Vehicles. For a description of the credits you may be eligible for in connection with investments in Funds and other Collective Investment Vehicles that pay Stifel Rule 12b-1 and other types of compensation, please see the section titled "*Compensation From Funds and Other Collective Investment Vehicles*" above.

Sweep. See the sections "The Stifel Automatic Cash Investment Service" and "Disclosure Documents for Automatic Cash Investment" of Brokerage Account Agreement for information about sweep services and benefits that Stifel and our affiliates

may receive in connection with deposits made through the sweep program for Plan Client Accounts.

Float. In general, under ERISA, a service provider, such as a custodian may retain the benefit of the use of any funds on hand that are incidental to the normal operation of the plan and that constitute earnings on funds that are (i) awaiting investment or (ii) transferred to a disbursement account for distribution from the plan. The DOL has issued guidance that requires financial institutions to make specific disclosures to employee benefit plans, such as the Plan, regarding the circumstances under which the institution has use of, or may derive benefit from, uninvested cash pending investment or distribution (“float”). As discussed in the section of this brochure titled “*Additional Information on Fees and Other Compensation*,” if Stifel serves as custodian of a Plan Client account, as part of our custody services to the Plan Client, we retain a proportionate share of any interest earned on aggregate cash balances held in Advisory accounts that are awaiting investment (including funds from transfers into the account and assets pending distribution from the account). Float may be earned by Stifel (or an affiliate) until investment or disbursement instructions have been received and the transactions have been executed and settled against the Plan Client account. In general, the amount of float earned is equivalent to the effective Federal Funds rate on the date earned.

Training and Education Expense Contributions. For information about payments we receive from investment companies and/or their affiliates in connection with training and achievement seminars offered to our Financial Advisors, please see the section in this brochure titled “*Training and Education Expense Contributions*.” Sponsorship amounts generally do not vary by vendor and cannot be reasonably allocated to any particular Plan Client. For example, over the past three years, the amount that each participating vendor has contributed to sponsoring a training or education event has generally ranged between \$5,000 and \$10,000, depending on the type of event; Stifel generally hosts approximately two to three of these internal training or education events per year. Additionally, the amount that each participating vendor has contributed toward sponsoring the Stifel annual conference has averaged approximately \$25,000.

Non-Cash Compensation. Please see the section of this brochure titled “*Non-Cash Compensation*” for information about certain gifts and gratuities we may receive. Based on historic trends, we do not expect to receive non-cash compensation in excess of the de minimis threshold under DOL regulations with respect to a Plan Client.

Termination fees. See the section above titled “*Compensation in Connection With the Termination of a Client’s Account Relationship With Stifel*” for information about fees that may apply if you transfer assets in your Account upon termination of your Advisory Agreement.

Plans are directed to the section “*Fees and Compensation*” in the brochure for additional details about the various other types of indirect compensation that we may receive in connection with Plan assets and, to the extent applicable, the steps that we take to

mitigate the conflicts that may be raised by the receipt of such indirect compensation.

Accounts Managed by Third-Party Managers

Plan accounts enrolled in our Opportunity, IMC, and/or Connect Programs may utilize the services of an Adviser (which, for purposes of this section, will encompass Investment Managers and Connect Advisers, as defined above) that is engaged to provide discretionary investment management services to the Plan. As the Adviser for the Plan, such Adviser is a fiduciary to the Plan for purposes of ERISA and a registered investment adviser for purposes of the Advisers Act. For our Opportunity Program, the Adviser’s direct compensation is part of the total fee that the client pays under the applicable Advisory Agreement with Stifel; in our Connect Program, the Connect Adviser’s fee is separate from (and in addition to) the Stifel fee. In addition to the management fee, an Adviser may also receive indirect compensation, often referred to as “soft dollars” or other benefits, from other brokerage firms with which the Adviser executes trades for its client accounts. These benefits may or may not relate to trades effected for the Plan account. Plan Clients should refer to the applicable Adviser’s separate Rule 408(b)2 disclosure statement or Form ADV Part 2A for information about whether or not the Independent Adviser receives soft dollars or similar benefits and, if so, the specific benefits received.